APPENDIX C: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Data

.....

1. Air Quality

Ambient air quality standards (AAQS) have been adopted at State and federal levels for criteria air pollutants. In addition, both the State and federal government regulate the release of toxic air contaminants (TACs). The County of San Mateo is in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin (SFBAAB) and is subject to the rules and regulations imposed by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), as well as the California AAQS adopted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and national AAQS adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Federal, State, regional and local laws, regulations, plans, or guidelines that are potentially applicable to the proposed Project are summarized below. The discussion also identifies the natural factors in the air basin that affect air pollution.

1.1 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

1.1.1 Ambient Air Quality Standards

The Clean Air Act (CAA) was passed in 1963 by the U.S. Congress and has been amended several times. The 1970 Clean Air Act amendments strengthened previous legislation and laid the foundation for the regulatory scheme of the 1970s and 1980s. In 1977, Congress again added several provisions, including nonattainment requirements for areas not meeting National AAQS and the Prevention of Significant Deterioration program. The 1990 amendments represent the latest in a series of federal efforts to regulate the protection of air quality in the United States. The CAA allows states to adopt more stringent standards or to include other pollution species. The California Clean Air Act, signed into law in 1988, requires all areas of the State to achieve and maintain the California AAQS by the earliest practical date. The California AAQS tend to be more restrictive than the National AAQS.

Criteria air pollutants are the air pollutants for which AAQS have been developed that are regulated under the CAA. The National and California AAQS are the levels of air quality considered to provide a margin of safety in the protection of the public health and welfare. They are designed to protect "sensitive receptors" most susceptible to further respiratory distress, such as asthmatics, the elderly, very young children, people already weakened by other disease or illness, and persons engaged in strenuous work or exercise. Healthy adults can tolerate occasional exposure to air pollutant concentrations considerably above these minimum standards before adverse effects are observed.

Both California and the federal government have established health-based AAQS for seven air pollutants, which are shown in Table 1. These pollutants are ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), coarse inhalable particulate matter (PM₁₀), fine inhalable particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), and lead (Pb). In addition, the State has set standards for sulfates, hydrogen sulfide, vinyl chloride, and visibility-reducing particles. These standards are designed to protect the health and welfare of the populace with a reasonable margin of safety.

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standard ¹	Federal Primary Standard ²	Major Pollutant Sources	
Ozone (O ₃) ³	1 hour	0.09 ppm	*	Motor vehicles, paints, coatings, and	
	8 hours	0.070 ppm	0.070 ppm	solvents.	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1 hour	20 ppm	35 ppm	Internal combustion engines, primarily	
	8 hours	9.0 ppm	9 ppm	gasoline-powered motor vehicles.	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm	0.053 ppm	Motor vehicles, petroleum-refining operations, industrial sources, aircraft, ships, and railroads.	
	1 hour	0.18 ppm	0.100 ppm	anu rainuaus.	
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	Annual Arithmetic Mean	*	0.030 ppm	Fuel combustion, chemical plants, sulfur recovery plants, and metal processing.	
	1 hour	0.25 ppm	0.075 ppm		
	24 hours	0.04 ppm	0.14 ppm		
Respirable Coarse Particulate Matter	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m³	*	Dust and fume-producing construction, industrial, and agricultural operations,	
(PM ₁₀)	24 hours	50 µg/m³	150 µg/m³	combustion, atmospheric photochemical reactions, and natural activities (e.g., wind-raised dust and ocean sprays).	
Respirable Fine Particulate Matter	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m³	12 µg/m³	Dust and fume-producing construction, industrial, and agricultural operations, combustion, atmospheric photochemical	
(PM _{2.5}) ⁴	24 hours	*	35 µg/m³	reactions, and natural activities (e.g., wind- raised dust and ocean sprays).	
Lead (Pb)	30-Day Average	1.5 µg/m³	*	Present source: lead smelters, battery	
	Calendar Quarter	*	1.5 µg/m³	manufacturing & recycling facilities. Past source: combustion of leaded gasoline.	
	Rolling 3-Month Average	*	0.15 µg/m ³		
Sulfates (SO ₄) ⁵	24 hours	25 µg/m³	*	Industrial processes.	
Visibility Reducing Particles	8 hours	ExCo =0.23/km visibility of 10≥ miles	No Federal Standard	Visibility-reducing particles consist of suspended particulate matter, which is a complex mixture of tiny particles that consists of dry solid fragments, solid cores with liquid coatings, and small droplets of liquid. These particles vary greatly in shape, size and chemical composition, and can be made up of many different materials such as metals, soot, soil, dust, and salt.	

Table 1 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants

Table 1	Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants
---------	---

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standard ¹	Federal Primary Standard ²	Major Pollutant Sources
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 hour	0.03 ppm	No Federal Standard	Hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) is a colorless gas with the odor of rotten eggs. It is formed during bacterial decomposition of sulfur- containing organic substances. Also, it can be present in sewer gas and some natural gas, and can be emitted as the result of geothermal energy exploitation.
Vinyl Chloride	24 hour	0.01 ppm	No Federal Standard	Vinyl chloride (chloroethene), a chlorinated hydrocarbon, is a colorless gas with a mild, sweet odor. Most vinyl chloride is used to make polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastic and vinyl products. Vinyl chloride has been detected near landfills, sewage plants, and hazardous waste sites, due to microbial breakdown of chlorinated solvents.

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2016, May 4. Ambient Air Quality Standards. http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf.

Notes: ppm: parts per million; µg/m³: micrograms per cubic meter * Standard has not been established for this pollutant/duration by this d

Standard has not been established for this pollutant/duration by this entity.

1 California standards for O₃, CO (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), SO₂ (1 and 24 hour), NO₂, and particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM₂₅, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.

2 National standards (other than O₃, PM, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The O₃ standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM₁₀, the 24-hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM₂₅, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard.

3 On October 1, 2015, the national 8-hour ozone primary and secondary standards were lowered from 0.075 to 0.070 ppm.
 4 On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM₂₅ primary standard was lowered from 15 μg/m³ to 12.0 μg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM₂₅ standards

4 On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM25 primary standard was lowered from 15 µg/m³ to 12.0 µg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM25 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 µg/m³, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 µg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 µg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.

5 On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. The 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

California has also adopted a host of other regulations that reduce criteria pollutant emissions, including:

- AB 1493: Pavley Fuel Efficiency Standards
- Title 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR): Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards
- Title 24, Part 6, CCR: Building and Energy Efficiency Standards
- Title 24, Part 11, CCR: Green Building Standards Code

1.1.2 Air Pollutants of Concern

A substance in the air that can cause harm to humans and the environment is known as an air pollutant. Pollutants can be in the form of solid particles, liquid droplets, or gases. In addition, they may be natural or man-made.

1.1.2.1 CRITERIA AIR POLLUTANTS

The pollutants emitted into the ambient air by stationary and mobile sources are regulated by federal and State law. Air pollutants are categorized as primary and/or secondary pollutants. Primary air pollutants are emitted directly from sources. Carbon monoxide (CO), reactive organic gases (ROG), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), coarse inhalable particulate matter (PM₁₀), fine inhalable particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), and lead (Pb) are primary air pollutants. Of these, CO, SO₂, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are "criteria air pollutants," which means that AAQS have been established for them. ROG and NO_x are criteria pollutant precursors that form secondary criteria air pollutants through chemical and photochemical reactions in the atmosphere. Ozone (O₃) and NO₂ are the principal secondary pollutants.

A description of each of the primary and secondary criteria air pollutants and their known health effects is presented below.

- Carbon Monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless, toxic gas produced by incomplete combustion of carbon substances, such as gasoline or diesel fuel. CO is a primary criteria air pollutant. CO concentrations tend to be the highest during winter mornings with little or no wind, when surface-based inversions trap the pollutant at ground levels. Because CO is emitted directly from internal combustion engines, motor vehicles operating at slow speeds are the primary source of CO in the air basin. Emissions are highest during cold starts, hard acceleration, stop-and-go driving, and when a vehicle is moving at low speeds. New findings indicate that CO emissions per mile are lowest at about 45 miles per hour (mph) for the average light-duty motor vehicle and begin to increase again at higher speeds. When inhaled at high concentrations, CO combines with hemoglobin in the blood and reduces its oxygen-carrying capacity. This results in reduced oxygen reaching the brain, heart, and other body tissues. This condition is especially critical for people with cardiovascular diseases, chronic lung disease, or anemia, as well as for fetuses. Even healthy people exposed to high CO concentrations can experience headaches, dizziness, fatigue, unconsciousness, and even death.¹ The air basin is designated under the California and National AAQS as being in attainment of CO criteria levels.²
- Reactive Organic Gases (ROGs) are compounds composed primarily of hydrogen and carbon atoms. Internal combustion associated with motor vehicle usage is the major source of ROGs. Other sources include evaporative emissions from paints and solvents, the application of asphalt paving, and the use of household consumer products such as aerosols. Adverse effects on human health are not caused directly by ROGs, but rather by reactions of ROGs to form secondary pollutants such as O₃. There are no AAQS established for ROGs. However, because they contribute to the formation of O₃, BAAQMD has established a significance threshold for this pollutant.
- Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) are a by-product of fuel combustion and contribute to the formation of O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}. The two major components of NO_x are nitric oxide (NO) and NO₂. The principal component of NO_x produced by combustion is NO, but NO reacts with oxygen to form

¹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011), Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

² California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.

 NO_2 , creating the mixture of NO and NO_2 commonly called NO_x . NO_2 is an acute irritant and at equal concentrations more injurious than NO. At atmospheric concentrations, however, NO_2 is only potentially irritating. There is some indication of a relationship between NO_2 and chronic pulmonary fibrosis. Some increase in bronchitis in children (two and three years old) has also been observed at concentrations below 0.3 parts per million (ppm). NO_2 absorbs blue light; the result is a brownishred cast to the atmosphere and reduced visibility. NO is a colorless, odorless gas formed from atmospheric nitrogen and oxygen when combustion takes place under high temperature and/or high pressure.³ The air basin is designated an attainment area for NO_2 under the National AAQS and California AAQS.⁴

- Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) is a colorless, pungent, irritating gas formed by the combustion of sulfurous fossil fuels. It enters the atmosphere as a result of burning high-sulfur-content fuel oils and coal and from chemical processes at chemical plants and refineries. Gasoline and natural gas have very low sulfur content and do not release significant quantities of SO₂. When SO₂ forms sulfates (SO₄) in the atmosphere, together these pollutants are referred to as sulfur oxides (SO_x). Thus, SO₂ is both a primary and secondary criteria air pollutant. At sufficiently high concentrations, SO₂ may irritate the upper respiratory tract. At lower concentrations and when combined with particulates, SO₂ may do greater harm by injuring lung tissue.⁵ The air basin is designated an attainment area for SO₂ under the California and National AAQS.⁶
- Suspended Particulate Matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) consists of finely divided solids or liquids such as soot, dust, aerosols, fumes, and mists. Two forms of fine particulates are now recognized and regulated. Inhalable coarse particles, or PM₁₀, include the particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns (i.e., 10 millionths of a meter or 0.0004-inch) or less. Inhalable fine particles, or PM_{2.5}, have an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less (i.e., 2.5 millionths of a meter or 0.0001 inch).

Some particulate matter, such as pollen, occurs naturally. Most particulate matter in the air basin is caused by combustion, factories, construction, grading, demolition, agricultural activities, and motor vehicles. Extended exposure to particulate matter can increase the risk of chronic respiratory disease. PM₁₀ bypasses the body's natural filtration system more easily than larger particles and can lodge deep in the lungs. An EPA scientific review concluded that PM_{2.5} penetrates even more deeply into the lungs, and this is more likely to contribute to health effects—at concentrations well below current PM₁₀ standards. These health effects include premature death in people with heart or lung disease, nonfatal heart attacks, irregular heartbeat, aggravated asthma, decreased lung function, increased respiratory symptoms (e.g. irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing). Motor vehicles

³ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

⁴ California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.

⁵ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

⁶ California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm..

are currently responsible for about half of particulates in the air basin. Wood burning in fireplaces and stoves is another large source of fine particulates.⁷

Both PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} may adversely affect the human respiratory system, especially in people who are naturally sensitive or susceptible to breathing problems. These health effects include premature death and increased hospital admissions and emergency room visits (primarily the elderly and individuals with cardiopulmonary disease); increased respiratory symptoms and disease (children and individual with asthma); and alterations in lung tissue and structure and in respiratory tract defense mechanisms.⁸ Diesel particulate matter (DPM) is classified a carcinogen by CARB. The air basin is designated nonattainment under the California AAQS for PM₁₀ and nonattainment under both the California and National AAQS for PM_{2.5}.^{9,10}

- Ozone (O₃) is commonly referred to as "smog" and is a gas that is formed when ROGs and NO_x,—both by-products of internal combustion engine exhaust—undergo photochemical reactions in the presence of sunlight. O₃ is a secondary criteria air pollutant. O₃ concentrations are generally highest during the summer months when direct sunlight, light winds, and warm temperatures create favorable conditions to the formation of this pollutant. O₃ poses a health threat to those who already suffer from respiratory diseases as well as to healthy people. O₃ levels usually build up during the day and peak in the afternoon. Short-term exposure can irritate the eyes and cause constriction of the airways. Besides causing shortness of breath, it can aggravate existing respiratory diseases such as asthma, bronchitis, and emphysema. Chronic exposure to high ozone levels can permanently damage lung tissue. O₃ can also damage plants and trees and materials such as rubber and fabrics.¹¹ The air basin is designated nonattainment of the 1-hour California AAQS and 8-hour California and National AAQS for O₃.¹²
- Lead (Pb) is a metal found naturally in the environment as well as in manufactured products. The major sources of lead emissions have historically been mobile and industrial sources. As a result of the phase-out of leaded gasoline, metal processing is currently the primary source of lead emissions. The highest levels of lead in air are generally found near lead smelters. Other stationary sources are waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers.

Twenty years ago, mobile sources were the main contributor to ambient lead concentrations in the air. In the early 1970s, the EPA set national regulations to gradually reduce the lead content in gasoline. In 1975, unleaded gasoline was introduced for motor vehicles equipped with catalytic

⁷ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

⁸ South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), 2005. Guidance Document for Addressing Air Quality Issues in General Plans and Local Planning.

⁹ California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm..

¹⁰ On January 9, 2013, the EPA issued a final rule to determine that the SFBAAB has attained the 24-hour PM_{2.5} National AAQS. This action suspends federal State Implementation Plan planning requirements for the Bay Area. The SFBAAB will continue to be designated nonattainment for the National 24-hour PM_{2.5} standard until such time as BAAQMD elects to submit a redesignation request and a maintenance plan to EPA and EPA approves the proposed redesignation.

¹¹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

¹² California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.

converters. The EPA banned the use of leaded gasoline in highway vehicles in December 1995. As a result of the EPA's regulatory efforts to remove lead from gasoline, emissions of lead from the transportation sector and levels of lead in the air decreased dramatically.¹³ The air basin is designated in attainment of the California and National AAQS for lead.¹⁴ Because emissions of lead are found only in projects that are permitted by BAAQMD, lead is not an air quality of concern for the proposed Project.

1.1.2.2 TOXIC AIR CONTAMINANTS

Public exposure to TACs is a significant environmental health issue in California. In 1983, the California Legislature enacted a program to identify the health effects of TACs and reduce exposure to these contaminants to protect the public health. The California Health and Safety Code defines a TAC as "an air pollutant which may cause or contribute to an increase in mortality or in serious illness, or which may pose a present or potential hazard to human health." A substance that is listed as a hazardous air pollutant pursuant to Section 112(b) of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S. Code Section 7412[b]) is a toxic air contaminant. Under State law, the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA), acting through CARB, is authorized to identify a substance as a TAC if it is an air pollutant that may cause or contribute to an increase in mortality or serious illness, or may pose a present or potential hazard to human health.

California regulates TACs primarily through AB 1807 (Tanner Air Toxics Act) and AB 2588 (Air Toxics "Hot Spot" Information and Assessment Act of 1987). The Tanner Air Toxics Act sets up a formal procedure for CARB to designate substances as TACs. Once a TAC is identified, CARB adopts an "airborne toxics control measure" for sources that emit designated TACs. If there is a safe threshold for a substance (i.e. a point below which there is no toxic effect), the control measure must reduce exposure to below that threshold. If there is no safe threshold, the measure must incorporate toxics best available control technology to minimize emissions. To date, CARB has established formal control measures for 11 TACs that it identified as having no safe threshold.

Air toxics from stationary sources are also regulated in California under the Air Toxics "Hot Spot" Information and Assessment Act of 1987. Under AB 2588, TAC emissions from individual facilities are quantified and prioritized by the air quality management district or air pollution control district. High priority facilities are required to perform a health risk assessment and, if specific thresholds are exceeded, are required to communicate the results to the public through notices and public meetings.

At the time of the last update to the TAC list in December 1999, CARB had designated 244 compounds as TACs.¹⁵ Additionally, CARB has implemented control measures for a number of compounds that pose high risks and show potential for effective control. The majority of the estimated health risks from TACs can be attributed to relatively few compounds, the most important being particulate matter from diesel-fueled engines.

¹³ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

¹⁴ California Air Resources Board, 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National.

http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.

¹⁵ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 1999. Final Staff Report: Update to the Toxic Air Contaminant List.

In 1998, CARB identified DPM as a TAC. Previously, the individual chemical compounds in diesel exhaust were considered TACs. Almost all diesel exhaust particles are 10 microns or less in diameter. Because of their extremely small size, these particles can be inhaled and eventually trapped in the bronchial and alveolar regions of the lungs.

CARB has promulgated the following specific rules to limit TAC emissions:

- CARB Rule 2485 (13 CCR Chapter 10, Section 2485), Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling
- CARB Rule 2480 (13 CCR Chapter 10, Section 2480), Airborne Toxic Control Measure to Limit School Bus Idling and Idling at Schools
- CARB Rule 2477 (13 CCR Section 2477 and Article 8), Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets and Facilities Where TRUs Operate

In addition, to reduce exposure to TACs, CARB developed and approved the *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective* (2005) to provide guidance regarding the siting of sensitive land uses in the vicinity of freeways, distribution centers, rail yards, ports, refineries, chrome-plating facilities, dry cleaners, and gasoline-dispensing facilities. This guidance document was developed to assess compatibility and associated health risks when placing sensitive receptors near existing pollution sources. CARB's recommendations on the siting of new sensitive land uses were based on a compilation of recent studies that evaluated data on the adverse health effects from proximity to air pollution sources. The key observation in these studies is that proximity to air pollution sources substantially increases exposure and the potential for adverse health risks from motor vehicle traffic, DPM from trucks, and benzene and 1,3 butadiene from passenger vehicles. CARB recommendations are based on data that show that localized air pollution exposures can be reduced by as much as 80 percent by following CARB minimum distance separations.

1.1.3 Bay Area Air Quality Management District

BAAQMD is the agency responsible for assuring that the National and California AAQS are attained and maintained in the SFBAAB. BAAQMD is responsible for:

- Adopting and enforcing rules and regulations concerning air pollutant sources.
- Issuing permits for stationary sources of air pollutants.
- Inspecting stationary sources of air pollutants.
- Responding to citizen complaints.
- Monitoring ambient air quality and meteorological conditions.
- Awarding grants to reduce motor vehicle emissions.
- Conducting public education campaigns.

Air quality management planning.

Air quality conditions in the air basin have improved significantly since the BAAQMD was created in 1955.¹⁶ The BAAQMD prepares air quality management plans (AQMPs) to attain ambient air quality standards in the SFBAAB. The BAAQMD prepares ozone attainment plans (OAPs) for the National O₃ standard and clean air plans for the California O₃ standard. The BAAQMD prepares these AQMPs in coordination with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The most recent adopted comprehensive plan is the 2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan, which was adopted on September 15, 2010, and incorporates significant new scientific data, primarily in the form of updated emissions inventories, ambient measurements, new meteorological episodes, and new air quality modeling tools.

1.1.3.1 BAAQMD BAY AREA CLEAN AIR PLAN

2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan

The purpose of the 2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan is to: 1) update the Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy in accordance with the requirements of the California Clean Air Act to implement all feasible measures to reduce O_3 ; 2) consider the impacts of O_3 control measures on PM, TAC, and greenhouse gases (GHGs) in a single, integrated plan; 3) review progress in improving air quality in recent years; and 4) establish emission control measures in the 2009 to 2012 timeframe. The 2010 Bay Area Clean Air Plan also provides the framework for SFBAAB to achieve attainment of the California AAQS. Areas that meet AAQS are classified attainment areas, and areas that do not meet these standards are classified nonattainment areas. Severity classifications for O_3 range from marginal, moderate, and serious to severe and extreme. The attainment area for California and National O_3 , California and National PM_{2.5}, and California PM₁₀ AAQS.

Draft 2016 Bay Area Clean Air Plan/Regional Climate Protection Strategy

BAAQMD is in the process of updating the Clean Air Plan. The *Draft 2016 Clean Air Plan*/Regional Climate *Protection Strategy* (RCPS) will be a roadmap for BAAQMD's efforts over the next few years to reduce air pollution and protect public health and the global climate. The Plan is required by the California Clean Air Act to identify potential rules, control measures, and strategies for the Bay Area to implement in order to meet state standards for ozone. The update will include the Bay Area's first-ever comprehensive RCPS, which will identify potential rules, control measures, and strategies that BAAQMD can pursue to reduce greenhouse gases in the Bay Area.¹⁷

1.1.3.2 BAAQMD'S COMMUNITY AIR RISK EVALUATION PROGRAM (CARE)

The BAAQMD's Community Air Risk Evaluation (CARE) program was initiated in 2004 to evaluate and reduce health risks associated with exposure to outdoor TACs in the Bay Area. Based on findings of the latest report, DPM was found to account for approximately 85 percent of the cancer risk from airborne toxics.

¹⁶ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

¹⁷ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2016b, Plans Under Development, Clean Air Plan and Regional Climate Protection Strategy, http://www.baaqmd.gov/plans-and-climate/air-quality-plans/plans-under-development, accessed on April 20, 2016.

Carcinogenic compounds from gasoline-powered cars and light duty trucks were also identified as significant contributors: 1,3-butadiene contributed 4 percent of the cancer risk-weighted emissions, and benzene contributed 3 percent. Collectively, five compounds—DPM, 1,3-butadiene, benzene, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde—were found to be responsible for more than 90 percent of the cancer risk attributed to emissions. All of these compounds are associated with emissions from internal combustion engines. The most important sources of cancer risk—weighted emissions were combustion-related sources of DPM, including on-road mobile sources (31 percent), construction equipment (29 percent), and ships and harbor craft (13 percent). A 75 percent reduction in DPM was predicted between 2005 and 2015 when the inventory accounted for CARB's diesel regulations. Overall, cancer risk from TACs dropped by more than 50 percent between 2005 and 2015, when emissions inputs accounted for State diesel regulations and other reductions.¹⁸

Modeled cancer risks from TAC in 2005 were highest near sources of DPM: near core urban areas, along major roadways and freeways, and near maritime shipping terminals. The highest modeled risks were found east of San Francisco, near West Oakland, and the Maritime Port of Oakland. BAAQMD has identified seven impacted communities in the Bay Area:

- 1. Western Contra Costa County and the cities of Richmond and San Pablo
- 2. Western Alameda County along the Interstate 880 (I-880) corridor and the cities of Berkeley, Alameda, Oakland, and Hayward
- 3. San Jose
- 4. Eastern side of San Francisco
- 5. Concord
- 6. Vallejo
- 7. Pittsburgh and Antioch

Based on the Phase II boundaries, El Granada does not lie inside a CARE-program impacted community.

The major contributor to acute and chronic non-cancer health effects in the air basin is acrolein (C₃H₄O). Major sources of acrolein are on-road mobile sources and aircraft near freeways and commercial and military airports.¹⁹ Currently CARB does not have certified emission factors or an analytical test method for acrolein. Since the appropriate tools needed to implement and enforce acrolein emission limits are not available, the BAAQMD does not conduct health risk screening analysis for acrolein emissions.²⁰

¹⁸ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2014. Improving Air Quality & Health in Bay Area Communities, Community Air Risk Program (CARE) Retrospective and Path Forward (2004–2013), April.

¹⁹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2006. Community Air Risk Evaluation Program, Phase I Findings and Policy Recommendations Related to Toxic Air Contaminants in the San Francisco Bay Area.

²⁰ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010. Air Toxics NSR Program, Health Risk Screening Analysis Guidelines.

1.1.3.3 REGULATION 7, ODOROUS SUBSTANCES

Sources of objectionable odors may occur within the City. BAAQMD's Regulation 7, Odorous Substances, places general limitations on odorous substances and specific emission limitations on certain odorous compounds. Odors are also regulated under BAAQMD Regulation 1, Rule 1-301, Public Nuisance, which states that "no person shall discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or the public; or which endangers the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such persons or the public, or which causes, or has a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property." Under BAAQMD's Rule 1-301, a facility that receives three or more violation notices within a 30-day period can be declared a public nuisance.

1.1.3.4 OTHER BAAQMD REGULATIONS

In addition to the plans and programs described above, BAAQMD administers a number of specific regulations on various sources of pollutant emissions that would apply to individual development projects allowed under the proposed General Plan, including:

- BAAQMD, Regulation 2, Rule 2, New Source Review
- BAAQMD, Regulation 2, Rule 5, New Source Review of Toxic Air Contaminants
- BAAQMD Regulation 6, Rule 1, General Requirements
- BAAQMD Regulation 6, Rule 2, Commercial Cooking Equipment
- BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 3, Architectural Coatings
- BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 4, General Solvent and Surface Coatings Operations
- BAAQMD Regulation 8, Rule 7, Gasoline Dispensing Facilities
- BAAQMD Regulation 11, Rule 2, Asbestos, Demolition, Renovation and Manufacturing)

Alameda County Transportation Commission

The Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC) is the congestion management agency for Alameda County, tasked with developing a comprehensive transportation improvement program among local jurisdictions that will reduce traffic congestion and improve land use decision-making and air quality. Alameda CTC's latest congestion management program (CMP) is the *2013 Congestion Management Program*. Alameda CTC's countywide transportation model must be consistent with the regional transportation model developed by the MTC with ABAG data. The countywide transportation model is used to help evaluate cumulative transportation impacts of local land use decisions on the CMP system. In addition, Alameda CTC's updated CMP includes multimodal performance measures and trip reduction and transportation demand management strategies consistent with the goals of reducing regional vehicle miles traveled in

accordance with Senate Bill 375 (SB 375). Strategies identified in the 2013 CMP for Alameda County, where local jurisdictions are a responsible agency, include:²¹

- Designated CMP Roadway Network: Identify and update a CMP roadway network to monitor performance in relation to established level of service standards.
- Level of Service Standards: Establish level of service standards as a quantitative tool to analyze the effects of land use changes on the transportation network's performance.
- Multimodal Performance: Use established multimodal performance measures to evaluate whether the transportation network is achieving the broad mobility goals in the CMP.
- Travel Demand Management: Implement transportation demand management measures to reduce pressure on existing roadway and parking capacity by using incentives and disincentives to influence travel choice.
- Land Use Analysis Program: Assess the impacts of land use decisions made by local jurisdictions on regional transportation systems and ensure that significant impacts are appropriately mitigated.
- Database and Travel Demand Model: Approve that computer models used for sub-areas are consistent with the CMP model and standardized modeling assumptions.
- Capital Improvement Program: Develop a list of projects intended to maintain or improve the performance of the multimodal transportation system in Alameda County, to move people and goods, and to mitigate regional transportation impacts.
- Program Conformance and Monitoring: Ensure local government conformance with level of service standards, Trip Reduction Program, Land Use Analysis Program, and payment of membership dues. Monitor information provided by the local governments to determine whether the CMP objectives are being met.

1.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

1.2.1 San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin

The BAAQMD is the regional air quality agency for the SFBAAB, which comprises all of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, and Santa Clara counties; the southern portion of Sonoma County; and the southwestern portion of Solano County. Air quality in this area is determined by such natural factors as topography, meteorology, and climate, in addition to the presence of existing air pollution sources and ambient conditions.²²

²¹ Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda CTC), 2013, Congestion Management Program, http://www.alamedactc.org/files/managed/Document/12460/2013_Alameda_County_Congestion_Management_Program.pdf, October.

²² This section describing the air basin is from Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2010 (Revised 2011), Appendix C: Sample Air Quality Setting, in *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines*.

1.2.1.1 METEOROLOGY

The SFBAAB is characterized by complex terrain, consisting of coastal mountain ranges, inland valleys, and bays, which distort normal wind flow patterns. The Coast Range splits, resulting in a western coast gap, Golden Gate, and an eastern coast gap, Carquinez Strait, which allow air to flow in and out of the SFBAAB and the Central Valley.

The climate is dominated by the strength and location of a semi-permanent, subtropical high-pressure cell. During the summer, the Pacific high-pressure cell is centered over the northeastern Pacific Ocean, resulting in stable meteorological conditions and a steady northwesterly wind flow. Upwelling of cold ocean water from below the surface because of the northwesterly flow produces a band of cold water off the California coast.

The cool and moisture-laden air approaching the coast from the Pacific Ocean is further cooled by the presence of the cold water band, resulting in condensation and the presence of fog and stratus clouds along the Northern California coast. In the winter, the Pacific high-pressure cell weakens and shifts southward, resulting in wind flow offshore, the absence of upwelling, and the occurrence of storms. Weak inversions coupled with moderate winds result in a low air pollution potential.

1.2.1.2 WIND PATTERNS

During the summer, winds flowing from the northwest are drawn inland through the Golden Gate and over the lower portions of the San Francisco Peninsula. Immediately south of Mount Tamalpais, the northwesterly winds accelerate considerably and come more directly from the west as they stream through the Golden Gate. This channeling of wind through the Golden Gate produces a jet that sweeps eastward and splits off to the northwest toward Richmond and to the southwest toward San Jose when it meets the East Bay hills.

Wind speeds may be strong locally in areas where air is channeled through a narrow opening, such as the Carquinez Strait, the Golden Gate, or the San Bruno gap. For example, the average wind speed at San Francisco International Airport in July is about 17 knots (from 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.), compared with only 7 knots at San Jose and less than 6 knots at the Farallon Islands.

The air flowing in from the coast to the Central Valley, called the sea breeze, begins developing at or near ground level along the coast in late morning or early afternoon. As the day progresses, the sea breeze layer deepens and increases in velocity while spreading inland. The depth of the sea breeze depends in large part upon the height and strength of the inversion. If the inversion is low and strong, and hence stable, the flow of the sea breeze will be inhibited and stagnant conditions are likely to result.

In the winter, the SFBAAB frequently experiences stormy conditions with moderate to strong winds, as well as periods of stagnation with very light winds. Winter stagnation episodes are characterized by nighttime drainage flows in coastal valleys. Drainage is a reversal of the usual daytime air-flow patterns; air moves from the Central Valley toward the coast and back down toward the Bay from the smaller valleys within the SFBAAB.

1.2.1.3 TEMPERATURE

Summertime temperatures in the SFBAAB are determined in large part by the effect of differential heating between land and water surfaces. Because land tends to heat up and cool off more quickly than water, a large-

scale gradient (differential) in temperature is often created between the coast and the Central Valley, and small-scale local gradients are often produced along the shorelines of the ocean and bays. The temperature gradient near the ocean is also exaggerated, especially in summer, because of the upwelling of cold water from the ocean bottom along the coast. On summer afternoons the temperatures at the coast can be 35 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) cooler than temperatures 15 to 20 miles inland. At night this contrast usually decreases to less than 10°F.

In the winter, the relationship of minimum and maximum temperatures is reversed. During the daytime the temperature contrast between the coast and inland areas is small, whereas at night the variation in temperature is large.

1.2.1.4 PRECIPITATION

The SFBAAB is characterized by moderately wet winters and dry summers. Winter rains (November through March) account for about 75 percent of the average annual rainfall. The amount of annual precipitation can vary greatly from one part of the SFBAAB to another, even within short distances. In general, total annual rainfall can reach 40 inches in the mountains, but it is often less than 16 inches in sheltered valleys.

During rainy periods, ventilation (rapid horizontal movement of air and injection of cleaner air) and vertical mixing (an upward and downward movement of air) are usually high, and thus pollution levels tend to be low (i.e. air pollutants are dispersed more readily into the atmosphere rather than accumulate under stagnant conditions). However, during the winter, frequent dry periods do occur, when mixing and ventilation are low and pollutant levels build up.

1.2.1.5 WIND CIRCULATION

Low wind speed contributes to the buildup of air pollution because it allows more pollutants to be emitted into the air mass per unit of time. Light winds occur most frequently during periods of low sun (fall and winter, and early morning) and at night. These are also periods when air pollutant emissions from some sources are at their peak, namely, commuter traffic (early morning) and wood-burning appliances (nighttime). The problem can be compounded in valleys, when weak flows carry the pollutants up-valley during the day, and cold air drainage flows move the air mass down-valley at night. Such restricted movement of trapped air provides little opportunity for ventilation and leads to buildup of pollutants to potentially unhealthful levels.

1.2.1.6 INVERSIONS

An inversion is a layer of warmer air over a layer of cooler air. Inversions affect air quality conditions significantly because they influence the mixing depth, i.e. the vertical depth in the atmosphere available for diluting air contaminants near the ground. There are two types of inversions that occur regularly in the SFBAAB. Elevation inversions are more common in the summer and fall, and radiation inversions are more common during the winter. The highest air pollutant concentrations in the SFBAAB generally occur during inversions.

1.2.2 Existing Ambient Air Quality

1.2.2.1 ATTAINMENT STATUS OF THE SFBAAB

Areas that meet AAQS are classified attainment areas, and areas that do not meet these standards are classified nonattainment areas. Severity classifications for O_3 range from marginal, moderate, and serious to severe and extreme. The attainment status for the air basin is shown in Table 2. The air basin is currently designated a nonattainment area for California and National O_3 , California and National PM_{2.5}, and California PM₁₀ AAQS.

	Attainment Status	IS OF CITIENTA POHULATILS IN THE SAIL FLANCISCO DAY ALEA AIL DASIT				
Po	ollutant	State	Federal			
Ozone – 1-hour		Nonattainment	Classification revoked (2005)			
Ozone – 8-hour		Nonattainment (serious)	Nonattainment			
PM10		Nonattainment	Unclassified/Attainment			
PM _{2.5}		Nonattainment	Unclassified/Attainment ^a			
СО		Attainment	Attainment			
NO ₂		Attainment	Unclassified			
SO ₂		Attainment	Attainment			
Lead		Attainment	Attainment			
Sulfates		Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment			
All others		Unclassified/Attainment	Unclassified/Attainment			

 Table 2
 Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2015, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm. ^a In December 2014, US EPA issued final area designations for the 2012 primary annual PM_{2.5} National AAOS. Areas designated "unclassifiable/attainment" must continue to take steps to prevent their air quality from deteriorating to unhealthy levels. The effective date of this standard is April 15, 2015 (BAAQMD 2016).

1.2.2.2 EXISTING AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

Existing levels of ambient air quality and historical trends and projections in the vicinity of the Project site are best documented by measurements made by the BAAQMD. The BAAQMD monitoring station closest to the Project site is the Redwood City Monitoring Station. However, data for PM₁₀ was taken from the San Francisco monitoring station. Data from these stations are summarized in Table 3. The data show occasional violations of the State and federal O₃, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} standards. The State and federal CO, and NO₂, standards have not been exceeded in the last five years in the vicinity of the City.

Table 3 Ambient Air Qi	uality Monitori	ng Summary				
		Number of Days Threshold Were				
		Exceeded a	nd Maximum Levels	s during Such Violati	ions	
Pollutant/Standard	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Ozone (O ₃) ^a						
State 1-Hour ≥ 0.09 ppm	0	0	0	0	0	
State 8-hour \geq 0.07 ppm	0	0	1	0	1	
Federal 8-Hour > 0.075 ppm	0	0	1	0	1	
Maximum 1-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.076	0.063	0.075	0.086	0.086	
Maximum 8-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.062	0.055	0.076	0.066	0.071	

 Table 3
 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Summary

uality Monitor	ing Summary				
	Number of Days Threshold Were Exceeded and Maximum Levels during Such Violations				
2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
0	0	*	*	*	
0	0	*	*	*	
1.67	1.81	*	*	*	
•	•		<u>+</u>		
0	0	0	0	0	
56	60	53	55	47	
•	•		<u>+</u>		
0	1	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	
45.6	50.6	44.3	35.9	47.0	
1	0	3	0	0	
39.7	34.3	39.0	35.0	34.6	
	2011 2011 0 0 1.67 0 56 0 0 45.6 1	Control Contro <thcontrol< th=""> <thcontrol< th=""> <thco< td=""><td>Number of Days Th 2011 2012 2013 0 0 * 0 0 * 1.67 1.81 * 0 0 60 53 0 1 0 0 56 60 53 0 1 0 0 45.6 50.6 44.3 1 0 3</td><td>Exceeded and Maximum Levels during Such Violat 2011 2012 2013 2014 0 0 * * * 0 0 * * * 0 0 * * * 1.67 1.81 * * 0 0 0 0 0 56 60 53 55 55 0 1 0 0 0 0 50.6 44.3 35.9 35 1 0 3 0 0</td></thco<></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>	Number of Days Th 2011 2012 2013 0 0 * 0 0 * 1.67 1.81 * 0 0 60 53 0 1 0 0 56 60 53 0 1 0 0 45.6 50.6 44.3 1 0 3	Exceeded and Maximum Levels during Such Violat 2011 2012 2013 2014 0 0 * * * 0 0 * * * 0 0 * * * 1.67 1.81 * * 0 0 0 0 0 56 60 53 55 55 0 1 0 0 0 0 50.6 44.3 35.9 35 1 0 3 0 0	

ible 3	Ambient Air	Quality	Monitoring	Summary	1

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2015, Air Pollution Data Monitoring Cards (2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015), Accessed September 21, 2016,

http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/index.html.

Notes: ppm: parts per million; ppb: parts per billion; µg/m³: or micrograms per cubic meter

* = insufficient data

Th

^a Data from San Francisco Monitoring Station for years 2011-2015.

1.2.2.3 EXISTING EMISSIONS

The 2.69-acre Project site is currently undeveloped and does not generate criteria air pollutants emissions from vehicle trips, energy use (e.g., heating and cooling), or other area sources on-site (e.g., landscape fuel use, aerosols, and architectural coatings). The project would not result in an increase number of trips to and from the site.

1.2.3 Sensitive Receptors

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to air pollution than others due to the types of population groups or activities involved. Sensitive population groups include children, the elderly, the acutely ill, and the chronically ill, especially those with cardiorespiratory diseases. Residential areas are also considered sensitive receptors to air pollution because residents (including children and the elderly) tend to be at home for extended periods of time, resulting in sustained exposure to any pollutants present. Other sensitive receptors include retirement facilities, hospitals, and schools. Recreational land uses are considered moderately sensitive to air pollution. Although exposure periods are generally short, exercise places a high demand on respiratory functions, which can be impaired by air pollution. In addition, noticeable air pollution can detract from the enjoyment of recreation. Industrial, commercial, retail, and office areas are considered the least sensitive to air pollution. Exposure periods are relatively short and intermittent, since the majority of the workers tend to stay indoors most of the time. In addition, the working population is generally the healthiest segment of the population.

The closest sensitive receptors proximate to the Project site are the residents at the single-family homes to the north across Avenue Alhambra. Other nearby sensitive receptors include Wilkinson and El Granada Schools.

1.3 METHODOLOGY

The BAAQMD "CEQA Air Quality Guidelines" were prepared to assist in the evaluation of air quality impacts of projects and plans proposed in the Bay Area. The guidelines provide recommended procedures for evaluating potential air impacts during the environmental review process, consistent with CEQA requirements, and include recommended thresholds of significance, mitigation measures, and background air quality information. They also include recommended assessment methodologies for air toxics, odors, and greenhouse gas emissions. In June 2010, the BAAQMD's Board of Directors adopted CEQA thresholds of significance and an update of the CEQA Guidelines. In May 2011, the updated BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines were amended to include a risk and hazards threshold for new receptors and modified procedures for assessing impacts related to risk and hazard impacts; however, this later amendment regarding risk and hazards was the subject of the December 17, 2015 Supreme Court decision (*California Building Industry Association v BAAQMD*), which clarified that CEQA does not require an evaluation of impacts of the environment on a project.²³

1.3.1 Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

The proposed Project qualifies as a project-level project under BAAQMD's criteria. For project-level analyses, BAAQMD has adopted screening criteria and significance criteria that would be applicable to the proposed Project. If a project exceeds the screening level, it would be required to conduct a full analysis using BAAQMD's significance criteria.

Regional Significance Criteria

BAAQMD's criteria for regional significance for projects that exceed the screening thresholds are shown in Table 4. Criteria for both construction and operational phases of the Project are shown.

²³ On March 5, 2012, the Alameda County Superior Court issued a judgment finding that the BAAQMD had failed to comply with CEQA when it adopted the thresholds of significance in the BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. The court did not determine whether the thresholds of significance were valid on their merits, but found that the adoption of the thresholds was a project under CEQA. The court issued a writ of mandate ordering the BAAQMD to set aside the thresholds and cease dissemination of them until the BAAQMD complied with CEQA. Following the court's order, the BAAQMD released revised CEQA Air Quality Guidelines in May of 2012 that include guidance on calculating air pollution emissions, obtaining information regarding the health impacts of air pollutants, and identifying potential mitigation measures, and which set aside the significance thresholds. The Alameda County Superior Court, in ordering BAAQMD to set aside the thresholds, did not address the merits of the science or evidence supporting the thresholds, and in light of the subsequent case history discussed below, the science and reasoning contained in the BAAQMD 2011 CEQA Air Quality Guidelines provide the latest state-of-the-art guidance available. On August 13, 2013, the First District Court of Appeal ordered the trial court to reverse the judgment and upheld the BAAQMD's CEQA Guidelines. (*California Building Industry Association versus BAAQMD, Case No. A135335 and A136212 (Court of Appeal, First District, August 13, 2013)*.)

ional (Mass Emissions) Criteria Air Pollutant Significance Thresholds				
Construction Phase	Operational Phase			
Average Daily Emissions (lbs/day)	Average Daily Emissions (Ibs/day)	Maximum Annual Emissions (Tons/year)		
54	54	10		
54	54	10		
82 (Exhaust)	82	15		
54 (Exhaust)	54	10		
Best Management Practices	None	None		
	Average Daily Emissions (lbs/day) 54 54 82 (Exhaust) 54 (Exhaust) 88 (Exhaust) 54 (Exhaust) 88 (Exhaust) 54 (Exhaust) 89 (Exhaust) 54 (Exhaust) 55 (Exhaust) 55 (Exhaust) 55 (Exhaust)	Average Daily Emissions (lbs/day)Average Daily Emissions (lbs/day)54545454545482 (Exhaust)8254 (Exhaust)54		

Significance Justification.

Local CO Hotspots

Congested intersections have the potential to create elevated concentrations of CO, referred to as CO hotspots. The significance criteria for CO hotspots are based on the California AAQS for CO, which is 9.0 ppm (8-hour average) and 20.0 ppm (1-hour average). However, with the turnover of older vehicles, introduction of cleaner fuels, and implementation of control technology, the SFBAAB is in attainment of the California and National AAQS, and CO concentrations in the SFBAAB have steadily declined. Because CO concentrations have improved, BAAQMD does not require a CO hotspot analysis if the following criteria are met:

- Project is consistent with an applicable congestion management program established by the County Congestion Management Agency for designated roads or highways, the regional transportation plan, and local congestion management agency plans.
- The Project would not increase traffic volumes at affected intersections to more than 44,000 vehicles per hour.
- The Project traffic would not increase traffic volumes at affected intersection to more than 24,000 vehicles per hour where vertical and/or horizontal mixing is substantially limited (e.g. tunnel, parking garage, bridge underpass, natural or urban street canyon, below-grade roadway).²⁴

Odors

BAAQMD's thresholds for odors are qualitative based on BAAQMD's Regulation 7, Odorous Substances. This rule places general limitations on odorous substances and specific emission limitations on certain odorous compounds. In addition, odors are also regulated under BAAQMD Regulation 1, Rule 1-301, Public Nuisance, which states that no person shall discharge from any source whatsoever such quantities of air contaminants or other material which cause injury, detriment, nuisance or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or the public; or which endangers the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such

²⁴ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines, Appendix D: Threshold of Significance Justification.

persons or the public, or which causes, or has a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. Under BAAQMD's Rule 1-301, a facility that receives three or more violation notices within a 30 day period can be declared a public nuisance. BAAQMD has established odor screening thresholds for land uses that have the potential to generate substantial odor complaints, including wastewater treatment plants, landfills or transfer stations, composting facilities, confined animal facilities, food manufacturing, and chemical plants.²⁵

1.3.2 Community Risk and Hazards

The BAAQMD's significance thresholds for local community risk and hazard impacts apply to the siting of a new source. However, local jurisdictions may choose to apply these criteria when siting new receptors. Local community risk and hazard impacts are associated with TACs and PM_{2.5} because emissions of these pollutants can have significant health impacts at the local level. The purpose of this environmental evaluation is to identify the significant effects of the proposed project on the environment, not the significant effects of the proposed project on the environment, not the significant effects of the environment on the proposed project (*California Building Industry Association v. Bay Area Air Quality Management District [2015] 62 Cal.4th 369 [Case No. S213478]*). CEQA does not require an environmental evaluation to analyze the environmental effects of attracting development and people to an area. However, the environmental evaluation must analyze the impacts of environmental hazards on future users when the proposed project exacerbates an existing environmental hazard or condition or if there is an exception to this exemption identified in the Public Resources Code. Schools, residential, commercial, and office uses do not use substantial quantities of TACs and typically do not exacerbate existing hazards, so these thresholds are typically applied to new industrial projects. However, Section 21151.8 of the Public Resources Code requires evaluation of air quality hazards for school site acquisition or construction of a K-12 schools.

For assessing community risk and hazards, sources within a 1,000-foot radius are considered. Sources are defined as freeways, high volume roadways (with volume of 10,000 vehicles or more per day or 1,000 trucks per day), and permitted sources.^{26,27}

The proposed Project would generate TACs and PM_{2.5} during construction activities that could elevate concentrations of air pollutants at the surrounding residential receptors. The BAAQMD has adopted screening tables for air toxics evaluation during construction.²⁸ Construction-related TAC and PM_{2.5} impacts should be addressed on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the specific construction-related characteristics of each project and proximity to off-site receptors, as applicable.²⁹

The thresholds identified below are applied to the proposed Project:

²⁵ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines*.

²⁶ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines, Appendix D: Threshold of Significance Justification.

²⁷ Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2012, Recommended Methods for Screening and Modeling Local Risks and Hazards.

²⁸ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010, Screening Tables for Air Toxics Evaluations during Construction.

²⁹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines, Appendix D: Threshold of Significance Justification.

Community Risk and Hazards - Project

Project-level construction emissions of TACs or $PM_{2.5}$ from the proposed Project to individual sensitive receptors within 1,000 feet of the Project site that exceed any of the thresholds listed below are considered a potentially significant community health risk:

- Non-compliance with a qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan;
- An excess cancer risk level of more than 10 in one million, or a non-cancer (i.e. chronic or acute) hazard index greater than 1.0 would be a significant cumulatively considerable contribution;
- An incremental increase of greater than 0.3 micrograms per cubic meter (µg/m³) annual average PM_{2.5} from a single source would be a significant, cumulatively considerable contribution.³⁰

Community Risk and Hazards – Cumulative

Cumulative sources represent the combined total risk values of each of the individual sources within the 1,000-foot evaluation zone.

A project would have a cumulative considerable impact if the aggregate total of all past, present, and foreseeable future sources within a 1,000-foot radius from the fence line of a source or location of a receptor, plus the contribution from the Project, exceeds the following:

- Non-compliance with a qualified Community Risk Reduction Plan; or
- An excess cancer risk levels of more than 100 in one million or a chronic non-cancer hazard index (from all local sources) greater than 10.0; or
- 0.8 µg/m³ annual average PM_{2.5}.³¹

Current BAAQMD guidance recommends the determination of cancer risks using the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's (OEHHA) methodology, which was originally adopted in 2003.^{32,33} In February 2015, OEHHA adopted new health risk assessment guidance which includes several efforts to be more protective of children's health. These updated procedures include the use of age sensitivity factors to account for the higher sensitivity of infants and young children to cancer causing chemicals, and age-specific breathing rates.³⁴ However, BAAQMD has not formally adopted the new OEHHA methodology into their CEQA guidance. To be conservative, the cancer risks associated with project implementation and significance conclusions were determined using the new 2015 OEHHA guidance for risk assessments.

³⁰ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines, Appendix D: Threshold of Significance Justification.

³¹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2010 (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines, Appendix D: Threshold of Significance Justification.

³² Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), 2012, Recommended Methods for Screening and Modeling Local Risks and Hazards.

³³ Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), 2003. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.

³⁴ Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), 2015. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments.

2. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Scientists have concluded that human activities are contributing to global climate change by adding large amounts of heat-trapping gases, known as GHG, to the atmosphere. The primary source of these GHG is fossil fuel use. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has identified four major GHG—water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and ozone (O_3)—that are the likely cause of an increase in global average temperatures observed within the 20th and 21st centuries. Other GHG identified by the IPCC that contribute to global warming to a lesser extent include nitrous oxide (N_2O), sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6), hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and chlorofluorocarbons.^{35,36,37} The major GHG are briefly described below.

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂) enters the atmosphere through the burning of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, trees and wood products, and respiration, and also as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g. manufacture of cement). Carbon dioxide is removed from the atmosphere (sequestered) when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle.
- Methane (CH₄) is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices and from the decay of organic waste in municipal landfills and water treatment facilities.
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities as well as during combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste.
- Fluorinated gases are synthetic, strong GHGs that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. Fluorinated gases are sometimes used as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances. These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities, but because they are potent GHGs, they are sometimes referred to as high global warming potential (GWP) gases.
 - Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) are GHGs covered under the 1987 Montreal Protocol and used for refrigeration, air conditioning, packaging, insulation, solvents, or aerosol propellants. Since they are not destroyed in the lower atmosphere (troposphere,

³⁵ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2001. Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001, New York: Cambridge University Press.

³⁶ Water vapor (H₂O) is the strongest GHG and the most variable in its phases (vapor, cloud droplets, ice crystals). However, water vapor is not considered a pollutant because it is considered part of the feedback loop of changing radiative forcing rather than a primary cause of change.

³⁷ Black carbon contributes to climate change both directly, by absorbing sunlight, and indirectly, by depositing on snow (making it melt faster) and by interacting with clouds and affecting cloud formation. Black carbon is the most strongly light-absorbing component of particulate matter (PM) emitted from burning fuels such as coal, diesel, and biomass. Reducing black carbon emissions globally can have immediate economic, climate, and public health benefits. California has been an international leader in reducing emissions of black carbon, with close to 95 percent control expected by 2020 due to existing programs that target reducing PM from diesel engines and burning activities (CARB 2014a). However, state and national GHG inventories do not include black carbon yet due to ongoing work related to resolving the precise global warming potential of black carbon. Guidance for CEQA documents does not yet include black carbon.

stratosphere), CFCs drift into the upper atmosphere where, given suitable conditions, they break down ozone. These gases are also ozone-depleting gases and are therefore being replaced by other compounds that are GHGs covered under the Kyoto Protocol.

- *Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)* contain only hydrogen, fluorine, and carbon atoms. They were introduced as alternatives to ozone-depleting substances to serve many industrial, commercial, and personal needs. HFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are also used in manufacturing. They do not significantly deplete the stratospheric ozone layer, but they are strong GHGs.
- **Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)** are a group of human-made chemicals composed of carbon and fluorine only. These chemicals (predominantly perfluoromethane [CF₄] and perfluoroethane [C₂F₆]) were introduced, along with HFCs, as alternatives to the ozone-depleting substances. In addition, PFCs are emitted as by-products of industrial processes and are used in manufacturing. PFCs do not harm the stratospheric ozone layer, but they have a high global warming potential.
- Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF_6) is a colorless gas, soluble in alcohol and ether and slightly soluble in water. SF₆ is a strong GHG used primarily in electrical transmission and distribution systems as an insulator.
- *Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)* contain hydrogen, fluorine, chlorine, and carbon atoms. Although ozone-depleting substances, they are less potent at destroying stratospheric ozone than CFCs. They have been introduced as temporary replacements for CFCs and are also GHGs. ^{38,39}

GHGs are dependent on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere. Some GHGs have a stronger greenhouse effect than others. These are referred to as high global warming potential (GWP) gases. Table 5 lists the GHG and their relative GWP compared to CO_2 . The GWP is used to convert GHGs to CO_2 -equivalent (CO_2e) to show the relative potential that different GHGs have to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect. For example, under IPCC's Second Assessment Report, GWP values for CH_4 are such that a project generating 10 metric tons (MT) of CH_4 would be equivalent to 210 MT of CO_2 .

³⁸ United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2015. Overview of Greenhouse Gases. http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases.html.

³⁹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2001. Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001, New York: Cambridge University Press.

GHGs	Second Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Fourth Assessment Report Atmospheric Lifetime (Years)	Second Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO21	Fourth Assessment Report Global Warming Potential Relative to CO ₂ 1
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	50 to 200	50 to 200	1	1
Methane ² (CH ₄)	12 (±3)	12	21	25
Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	120	114	310	298
Hydrofluorocarbons:				
HFC-23	264	270	11,700	14,800
HFC-32	5.6	4.9	650	675
HFC-125	32.6	29	2,800	3,500
HFC-134a	14.6	14	1,300	1,430
HFC-143a	48.3	52	3,800	4,470
HFC-152a	1.5	1.4	140	124
HFC-227ea	36.5	34.2	2,900	3,220
HFC-236fa	209	240	6,300	9,810
HFC-4310mee	17.1	15.9	1,300	1,030
Perfluoromethane: CF 4	50,000	50,000	6,500	7,390
Perfluoroethane: C ₂ F ₆	10,000	10,000	9,200	12,200
Perfluorobutane: C ₄ F ₁₀	2,600	NA	7,000	8,860
Perfluoro-2-methylpentane: C ₆ F ₁₄	3,200	NA	7,400	9,300
Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	3,200	NA	23,900	22,800

Table 5	GHG Emissions and their Relative Global Warming Potential Compared to CO ₂	
---------	---	--

Source: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1996, Second Assessment Report: Climate Change 1996, New York: Cambridge University Press; and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007, Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Notes: The IPCC has published updated global warming potential (GWP) values in its Fifth Assessment Report (2013) that reflect new information on atmospheric lifetimes of GHGs and an improved calculation of the radiative forcing of CO2. However, GWP values identified in the Second Assessment Report are still used by SCAQMD to maintain consistency in GHG emissions modeling. In addition, the 2008 Scoping Plan was based on the GWP values in the Second Assessment Report.

Based on 100-year time horizon of the GWP of the air pollutant relative to CO2

The methane GWP includes direct effects and indirect effects due to the production of tropospheric ozone and stratospheric water vapor. The indirect effect due to the production of CO2 is not included.

2.1 CALIFORNIA'S GREENHOUSE GAS SOURCES AND RELATIVE CONTRIBUTION

California is the second largest emitter of GHG in the United States, only surpassed by Texas, and the tenth largest GHG emitter in the world.⁴⁰ However, California also has over 12 million more people than the State of Texas. Because of more stringent air emission regulations, in 2001 California ranked fourth lowest in carbon emissions per capita and fifth lowest among states in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel consumption per unit of Gross State Product (total economic output of goods and services).41

⁴⁰ California Energy Commission (CEC), 2005. Climate Change Emissions Estimates from Bemis, Gerry and Jennifer Allen, Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2002 Update, California Energy Commission Staff Paper CEC-600-2005-025, Sacramento, California, June.

⁴¹ California Energy Commission (CEC), 2006. Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990 to 2004, Report CEC-600-2006-013-SF, December.

CARB's latest update to the statewide GHG emissions inventory using the GWPs in IPCC's Second Assessment Report (SAR) was conducted in 2012 for year 2009 emissions.⁴² In 2009, California produced 457 million metric tons (MMT) of CO₂-equivalent (CO₂e) GHG emissions.⁴³ California's transportation sector is the single largest generator of GHG emissions, producing 37.9 percent of the State's total emissions. Electricity consumption is the second largest source, comprising 22.7 percent. Industrial activities are California's third largest source of GHG emissions, comprising 17.8 percent of the State's total emissions. Other major sectors of GHG emissions include commercial and residential, recycling and waste, high global warming potential GHGs, agriculture, and forestry.⁴⁴

In 2016, the statewide GHG emissions inventory was updated for 2000 to 2014 emissions using the GWPs in IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report (AR4). Based on these GWPs, California produced 442 MMTCO₂e GHG emissions in 2014. California's transportation sector remains the single largest generator of GHG emissions, producing 36.1 percent of the state's total emissions. Industrial sector emissions made up 21.1 percent and electric power generation made up 20.0 percent of the state's emissions inventory. Other major sectors of GHG emissions include commercial and residential (8.7 percent), agriculture (8.2 percent), high global warming potential GHGs (3.9 percent), and recycling and waste (2.0 percent).⁴⁵

2.2 HUMAN INFLUENCE ON CLIMATE CHANGE

For approximately 1,000 years before the Industrial Revolution, the amount of GHG in the atmosphere remained relatively constant. During the 20th century, however, scientists observed a rapid change in the climate and the quantity of climate change pollutants in the Earth's atmosphere that are attributable to human activities. The amount of CO₂ in the Earth's atmosphere has increased by more than 35 percent since preindustrial times, and the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere has increased at an average rate of 1.4 parts per million (ppm) per year since 1960, mainly due to combustion of fossil fuels and deforestation.⁴⁶ These recent changes in the quantity and concentration of climate change pollutants far exceed the extremes of the ice ages, and the global mean temperature is warming at a rate that cannot be explained by natural causes alone.⁴⁷ Human activities are directly altering the chemical composition of the atmosphere through the buildup of climate change pollutants.⁴⁸ In the past, gradual changes in the earth's temperature changed the distribution of species, availability of water, etc. However, human activities are accelerating this process so

⁴² Methodology for determining the statewide GHG inventory is not the same as the methodology used to determine statewide GHG emissions under Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32) (2006).

 $^{^{43}}$ CO₂-equivalence is used to show the relative potential that different GHGs have to retain infrared radiation in the atmosphere and contribute to the greenhouse effect. The global warming potential of a GHG is also dependent on the lifetime, or persistence, of the gas molecule in the atmosphere.

⁴⁴ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2012. California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000–2009. By Category as Defined by the Scoping Plan. April.

⁴⁵ California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2016. 2016 Edition California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000-2014 — by Category as Defined in the 2008 Scoping Plan. <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm</u>, June.

⁴⁶ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007. Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007, New York: Cambridge University Press.

 $^{4^{7}}$ At the end of the last ice age, the concentration of CO₂ increased by around 100 ppm (parts per million) over about 8,000 years, or approximately 1.25 ppm per century. Since the start of the industrial revolution, the rate of increase has accelerated markedly. The rate of CO₂ accumulation currently stands at around 150 ppm/century—more than 200 times faster than the background rate for the past 15,000 years.

⁴⁸ California Climate Action Team (CAT), 2006. Climate Action Team Report to Governor Schwarzenegger and the Legislature, March.

that environmental impacts associated with climate change no longer occur in a geologic time frame but within a human lifetime.⁴⁹

Like the variability in the projections of the expected increase in global surface temperatures, the environmental consequences of gradual changes in the Earth's temperature are also hard to predict. Projections of climate change depend heavily upon future human activity. Therefore, climate models are based on different emission scenarios that account for historic trends in emissions and on observations of the climate record that assess the human influence of the trend and projections for extreme weather events. Climate-change scenarios are affected by varying degrees of uncertainty. For example, there are varying degrees of certainty on the magnitude of the trends for:

- Warmer and fewer cold days and nights over most land areas;
- Warmer and more frequent hot days and nights over most land areas;
- An increase in frequency of warm spells/heat waves over most land areas;
- An increase in frequency of heavy precipitation events (or proportion of total rainfall from heavy falls) over most areas;
- Areas affected by drought increases;
- Intense tropical cyclone activity increases;
- Increased incidence of extreme high sea level (excluding tsunamis).

2.3 POTENTIAL CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS FOR CALIFORNIA

Observed changes over the last several decades across the western United States reveal clear signals of climate change. Statewide average temperatures increased by about 1.7°F from 1895 to 2011, and warming has been greatest in the Sierra Nevada. By 2050, California is projected to warm by approximately 2.7°F above 2000 averages, a threefold increase in the rate of warming over the last century. By 2100, average temperatures could increase by 4.1–8.6°F, depending on emissions levels.⁵⁰

In California and western North America, observations of the climate have shown: 1) a trend toward warmer winter and spring temperatures, 2) a smaller fraction of precipitation falling as snow, 3) a decrease in the amount of spring snow accumulation in the lower and middle elevation mountain zones, 4) an advance snowmelt of 5 to 30 days earlier in the springs, and 5) a similar shift (5 to 30 days earlier) in the timing of spring flower blooms.⁵¹ According to the California Climate Action Team, even if actions could be taken to immediately curtail climate change emissions, the potency of emissions that have already built up, their long atmospheric lifetimes (see Table 5), and the inertia of the Earth's climate system could produce as much as 0.6°C (1.1°F) of additional warming. Consequently, some impacts from climate change are now considered unavoidable. Global climate change risks to California are shown in Table 6 and include public health

⁴⁹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2007. Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007, New York: Cambridge University Press.

⁵⁰ California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July

⁵¹ California Climate Action Team (CAT), 2006. Climate Action Team Report to Governor Schwarzenegger and the Legislature. March.

impacts, water resources impacts, agricultural impacts, coastal sea level impacts, forest and biological resource impacts, and energy impacts.

Impact Category	Potential Risk
Public Health Impacts	Heat waves will be more frequent, hotter, and longer Fewer extremely cold nights Poor air quality made worse Higher temperatures increase ground-level ozone levels
Water Resources Impacts	Decreasing Sierra Nevada snow pack Challenges in securing adequate water supply Potential reduction in hydropower Loss of winter recreation
Agricultural Impacts	Increasing temperature Increasing threats from pests and pathogens Expanded ranges of agricultural weeds Declining productivity Irregular blooms and harvests
Coastal Sea Level Impacts	Accelerated sea level rise Increasing coastal floods Shrinking beaches Worsened impacts on infrastructure
Forest and Biological Resource Impacts	Increased risk and severity of wildfires Lengthening of the wildfire season Movement of forest areas Conversion of forest to grassland Declining forest productivity Increasing threats from pest and pathogens Shifting vegetation and species distribution Altered timing of migration and mating habits Loss of sensitive or slow-moving species
Energy Demand Impacts	Potential reduction in hydropower Increased energy demand

California, CEC-500-2008-0077. California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July.

Specific climate change impacts that could affect the project include:

- Water Resources Impacts. By late-century, all projections show drying, and half of the projections suggest 30-year average precipitation will decline by more than 10 percent below the historical average. This drying trend is caused by an apparent decline in the frequency of rain and snowfall. Even in projections with relatively small or no declines in precipitation, central and southern parts of the State can be expected to be drier from the warming effects alone as the spring snowpack will melt sooner, and the moisture contained in soils will evaporate during long dry summer months.⁵²
- Wildfire Risks. Earlier snowmelt, higher temperatures and longer dry periods over a longer fire season will directly increase wildfire risk. Indirectly, wildfire risk will also be influenced by potential climate-related changes in vegetation and ignition potential from lightning. Human activities will

⁵² California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July.

continue to be the biggest factor in ignition risk. The number of large fires statewide are estimated to increase from 58 percent to 128 percent above historical levels by 2085. Under the same emissions scenario, estimated burned area will increase by 57 percent to 169 percent, depending on location.⁵³

- Health Impacts. Many of the gravest threats to public health in California stem from the increase of extreme conditions, principally more frequent, more intense, and longer heat waves. Particular concern centers on the increasing tendency for multiple hot days in succession, and heat waves occurring simultaneously in several regions throughout the State. Public health could also be affected by climate change impacts on air quality, food production, the amount and quality of water supplies, energy pricing and availability, and the spread of infectious diseases. Higher temperatures also increase ground-level ozone levels. Furthermore, wildfires can increase particulate air pollution in the major air basins of California.⁵⁴
- Increase Energy Demand. Increases in average temperature and higher frequency of extreme heat events combined with new residential development across the State will drive up the demand for cooling in the increasingly hot and longer summer season and decrease demand for heating in the cooler season. Warmer, drier summers also increase system losses at natural gas plants (reduced efficiency in the electricity generation process from higher temperatures) and hydropower plants (lower reservoir levels). Transmission of electricity will also be affected by climate change. Transmission lines lose 7 percent to 8 percent of transmitting capacity in high temperatures while needing to transport greater loads. This means that more electricity needs to be produced to make up for the loss in capacity and the growing demand.⁵⁵

2.1 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

2.1.1 Federal Laws

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced on December 7, 2009, that GHG emissions threaten the public health and welfare of the American people and that GHG emissions from on-road vehicles contribute to that threat. The EPA's final findings respond to the 2007 U.S. Supreme Court decision that GHG emissions fit within the Clean Air Act definition of air pollutants. The findings do not in and of themselves impose any emission reduction requirements, but allow the EPA to finalize the GHG standards proposed in 2009 for new light-duty vehicles as part of the joint rulemaking with the Department of Transportation.⁵⁶

The EPA's endangerment finding covers emissions of six key GHGs—CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and SF₆—that have been the subject of scrutiny and intense analysis for decades by scientists in the United States and around the world The first three are applicable to the proposed Project

⁵³ California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July.

⁵⁴ California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July.

⁵⁵ California Climate Change Center. 2012. Our Changing Climate 2012, Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. July.

⁵⁶ United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2009. EPA: Greenhouse Gases Threaten Public Health and the Environment, Science overwhelmingly shows greenhouse gas concentrations at unprecedented levels due to human activity, December, http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/08D11A451131BCA585257685005BF252.

because they constitute the majority of GHG emissions from the onsite land uses, and per BAAQMD guidance are the GHG emissions that should be evaluated as part of a GHG emissions inventory.

2.1.1.1 US MANDATORY REPORTING RULE FOR GREENHOUSE GASES (2009)

In response to the endangerment finding, the EPA issued the Mandatory Reporting of GHG Rule that requires substantial emitters of GHG emissions (large stationary sources, etc.) to report GHG emissions data. Facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons (MT) or more of CO_2 per year are required to submit an annual report.

2.1.1.2 UPDATE TO CORPORATE AVERAGE FUEL ECONOMY STANDARDS (2010/2012)

The current Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards (for model years 2011 to 2016) incorporate stricter fuel economy requirements promulgated by the federal government and California into one uniform standard. Additionally, automakers are required to cut GHG emissions in new vehicles by roughly 25 percent by 2016 (resulting in a fleet average of 35.5 miles per gallon [mpg] by 2016). Rulemaking to adopt these new standards was completed in 2010. California agreed to allow automakers who show compliance with the national program to also be considered to be in compliance with State requirements. The federal government issued new standards in 2012 for model years 2017–2025, which will require a fleet average of 54.5 mpg in 2025.

2.1.1.3 EPA REGULATION OF STATIONARY SOURCES UNDER THE CLEAN AIR ACT (ONGOING)

Pursuant to its authority under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the EPA has been developing regulations for new stationary sources such as power plants, refineries, and other large sources of emissions. Pursuant to the President's 2013 Climate Action Plan, the EPA will be directed to also develop regulations for existing stationary sources.

2.1.2 State Laws

Current State of California guidance and goals for reductions in GHG emissions are generally embodied in Executive Order S-03-05, Executive Order B-30-15, Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), and Senate Bill 375 (SB 375).

2.1.2.1 EXECUTIVE ORDER S-03-05

Executive Order S-03-05, signed June 1, 2005, set the following GHG reduction targets for the State:

- 2000 levels by 2010
- 1990 levels by 2020
- 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050

2.1.2.2 EXECUTIVE ORDER B-30-15

Executive Order B-30-15, signed April 29, 2015, sets a goal of reducing GHG emissions within the State to 40 percent of 1990 levels by year 2030. Executive Order B-30-15 also directs CARB to update the Scoping Plan to quantify the 2030 GHG reduction goal for the State and requires state agencies to implement measures to meet the interim 2030 goal of Executive Order B-30-15 as well as the long-term goal for 2050 in Executive Order S-03-5. It also requires the Natural Resources Agency to conduct triennial updates the

California adaption strategy, Safeguarding California, in order to ensure climate change is accounted for in State planning and investment decisions.

2.1.2.3 ASSEMBLY BILL 32, THE GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT

Current State of California guidance and goals for reductions in GHG emissions are generally embodied in Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the Global Warming Solutions Act. AB 32 was passed by the California State legislature on August 31, 2006, to place the State on a course toward reducing its contribution of GHG emissions. AB 32 follows the 2020 tier of emissions reduction targets established in Executive Order S-3-05.

CARB 2008 Scoping Plan

The final Scoping Plan was adopted by CARB on December 11, 2008. AB 32 directed CARB to adopt discrete early action measures to reduce GHG emissions and outline additional reduction measures to meet the 2020 target. In order to effectively implement the emissions cap, AB 32 directed CARB to establish a mandatory reporting system to track and monitor GHG emissions levels for large stationary sources that generate more than 25,000 MT of CO₂e per year, prepare a plan demonstrating how the 2020 deadline can be met, and develop appropriate regulations and programs to implement the plan by 2012.

The 2008 Scoping Plan identified that GHG emissions in California are anticipated to be approximately 596 MMTCO₂e in 2020. In December 2007, CARB approved a 2020 emissions limit of 427 MMTCO₂e (471 million tons) for the State. The 2020 target requires a total emissions reduction of 169 MMTCO₂e, 28.5 percent from the projected emissions of the business-as-usual (BAU) scenario for the year 2020 (i.e., 28.5 percent of 596 MMTCO₂e). ^{57,58}

Since release of the 2008 Scoping Plan, CARB has updated the Statewide GHG emissions inventory to reflect GHG emissions in light of the economic downturn and of measures not previously considered in the 2008 Scoping Plan baseline inventory. The updated forecast predicts emissions to be 545 MMT CO₂e by 2020. The revised BAU 2020 forecast shows that the State would have to reduce GHG emissions by 21.7 percent from BAU. The new inventory also identifies that if the updated 2020 forecast includes the reductions assumed from implementation of Pavley (26 MMT CO₂e of reductions) and the 33 percent RPS (12 MMT CO₂e of reductions) the forecast would be 507 MMT CO₂e in 2020, and then an estimated 80 MMT CO₂e of additional reductions are necessary to achieve the statewide emissions reduction of AB 32 by 2020, or 15.7 percent of the projected emissions compared to BAU in year 2020 (i.e. 15.7 percent of 507 MMT CO₂e).⁵⁹

Key elements of CARB's GHG reduction plan that may be applicable to the proposed Project include:

• Expanding and strengthening existing energy efficiency programs as well as building and appliance standards (adopted and cycle updates in progress);

⁵⁷ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2008. Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.

⁵⁸ CARB defines BAU in its Scoping Plan as emissions levels that would occur if California continued to grow and add new GHG emissions but did not adopt any measures to reduce emissions. Projections for each emission-generating sector were compiled and used to estimate emissions for 2020 based on 2002–2004 emissions intensities. Under CARB's definition of BAU, new growth is assumed to have the same carbon intensities as was typical from 2002 through 2004.

⁵⁹ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2012. *Status of Scoping Plan Recommended Measures*. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/status_of_scoping_plan_measures.pdf.

- Achieving a mix of 33 percent for energy generation from renewable sources (anticipated by 2020);
- A California cap-and-trade program that links with other Western Climate Initiative partner programs to create a regional market system for large stationary sources (adopted 2011);
- Establishing targets for transportation-related GHG emissions for regions throughout California, and pursuing policies and incentives to achieve those targets (several Sustainable Communities Strategies have been adopted);
- Adopting and implementing measures pursuant to State laws and policies, including California's clean car standards (amendments to the Pavley Standards adopted 2009; Advanced Clean Car standard adopted 2012), goods movement measures, and the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) (adopted 2009);
- Creating target fees, including a public goods charge on water use, fees on high GWP gases, and a fee to fund the administrative costs of the State's long-term commitment to AB 32 implementation (in progress).

Table 7 shows the anticipated reductions from regulations and programs outlined in the 2008 Scoping Plan. Although local government operations were not accounted for in achieving the 2020 emissions reduction, CARB estimates that land use changes implemented by local governments that integrate jobs, housing, and services result in a reduction of 5 MMTCO₂e, which is approximately 3 percent of the 2020 GHG emissions reduction goal. In recognition of the critical role local governments play in the successful implementation of AB 32, CARB is recommending GHG reduction goals of 15 percent of 2014 levels by 2020 to ensure that municipal and community-wide emissions match the State's reduction target.⁶⁰ Measures that local governments take to support shifts in land use patterns are anticipated to emphasize compact, low-impact growth over development in greenfields, resulting in fewer VMT.⁶¹

⁶⁰ The Scoping Plan references a goal for local governments to reduce community GHG emissions by 15 percent from current (interpreted as 2008) levels by 2020, but it does not rely on local GHG reduction targets established by local governments to meet the State's GHG reduction target of AB 32.

⁶¹ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2008. Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.

Recommended Reduction Measures	Reductions Counted toward 2020 Target of 169 MMT CO2e	Percentage of Statewide 2020 Target
Cap and Trade Program and Associated Measures	0020	Turget
California Light-Duty Vehicle GHG Standards	31.7	19%
Energy Efficiency	26.3	16%
Renewable Portfolio Standard (33 percent by 2020)	21.3	13%
Low Carbon Fuel Standard	15	9%
Regional Transportation-Related GHG Targets ^a	5	3%
Vehicle Efficiency Measures	4.5	3%
Goods Movement	3.7	2%
Million Solar Roofs	2.1	1%
Medium/Heavy Duty Vehicles	1.4	1%
High Speed Rail	1.0	1%
Industrial Measures	0.3	0%
Additional Reduction Necessary to Achieve Cap	34.4	20%
Total Cap and Trade Program Reductions	146.7	87%
Uncapped Sources/Sectors Measures		
High Global Warming Potential Gas Measures	20.2	12%
Sustainable Forests	5	3%
Industrial Measures (for sources not covered under cap and trade program)	1.1	1%
Recycling and Waste (landfill methane capture)	1	1%
Total Uncapped Sources/Sectors Reductions	27.3	16%
Total Reductions Counted toward 2020 Target	174	100%
Other Recommended Measures – Not Counted toward 2020 Target		
State Government Operations	1.0 to 2.0	1%
Local Government Operations ^b	To Be Determined	NA
Green Buildings	26	15%
Recycling and Waste	9	5%
Water Sector Measures	4.8	3%
Methane Capture at Large Dairies	1	1%
Total Other Recommended Measures – Not Counted toward 2020 Target	42.8	NA

Table 7 Scoping Plan GHG Reduction Measures and Reductions toward 2020 Target

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2008, Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.

Notes: The percentages in the right-hand column add up to more than 100 percent because the emissions reduction goal is 169 MMTCO2e and the Scoping Plan identifies 174 MTCO2e of emissions reductions strategies.

MMTCO_{2e}: million metric tons of CO₂e

Reductions represent an estimate of what may be achieved from local land use changes. It is not the SB 375 regional target.

^b According to the Measure Documentation Supplement to the Scoping Plan, local government actions and targets are anticipated to reduce vehicle miles by approximately 2 percent through land use planning, resulting in a potential GHG reduction of 2 million metric tons of CO_{2e} (or approximately 1.2 percent of the GHG reduction target). However, these reductions were not included in the Scoping Plan reductions to achieve the 2020 target.

First Update to the Scoping Plan

CARB recently completed a five-year update to the 2008 Scoping Plan, as required by AB 32. The final update to the Scoping Plan was released in May, and CARB adopted it at the May 22, 2014, board hearing. The update to the Scoping Plan defines CARB's climate change priorities for the next five years and lays the groundwork to reach post-2020 goals in Executive Orders S-3-05 and B-16-2012. The update includes the latest scientific findings related to climate change and its impacts, including short-lived climate pollutants. The GHG target identified in the 2008 Scoping Plan is based on IPCC's GWPs identified in the SAR (see

Table 5). IPCC's AR4 and Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) identified more recent GWP values based on the latest available science. CARB recalculated the 1990 GHG emission levels with the updated GWPs in the AR4, and the 427 MMTCO₂e 1990 emissions level and 2020 GHG emissions limit, established in response to AB 32, is slightly higher, at 431 MMTCO₂.⁶²

In the First Update to the Scoping Plan, CARB projects that statewide BAU emissions in 2020 would be approximately 509 million MTCO₂e.⁶³ Therefore, to achieve the AB 32 target of 431 million MTCO₂e (i.e. 1990 emissions levels) by 2020, the State would need to reduce emissions by 78 million MTCO₂e compared to BAU conditions, a reduction of 15.3 percent from BAU in 2020.^{64, 65}

The update highlights California's progress toward meeting the near-term 2020 GHG emission reduction goals defined in the original 2008 Scoping Plan. As identified in the update to the Scoping Plan, California is on track to meeting the goals of AB 32. However, the update to the Scoping Plan also addresses the State's longer-term GHG goals within a post-2020 element. The post-2020 element provides a high level view of a long-term strategy for meeting the 2050 GHG goals, including a recommendation for the State to adopt a mid-term target. According to the update to the Scoping Plan, local government reduction targets should chart a reduction trajectory that is consistent with, or exceeds, the trajectory created by statewide goals. ⁶⁶

According to the update to the Scoping Plan, reducing emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels will require a fundamental shift to efficient, clean energy in every sector of the economy. Progressing toward California's 2050 climate targets will require significant acceleration of GHG reduction rates. Emissions from 2020 to 2050 will have to decline several times faster than the rate needed to reach the 2020 emissions limit.⁶⁷

Second Update to the Scoping Plan

The new Executive Order B-30-15 requires CARB to prepare another update to the Scoping Plan to address the 2030 target for the state. On June 17, 2016, CARB released the 2030 Target Scoping Plan Update Concept Paper, which describes potential policy concepts to achieve the 2030 target.⁶⁸ This document also serves as a means for public engagement and input for the actual Draft Scoping Plan that is anticipated to be released.

http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/updatedscopingplan2013.htm.

⁶² California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2014, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, Pursuant to AB 32, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006,

http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/updatedscopingplan2013.htm.

⁶³ The BAU forecast includes GHG reductions from Pavley and the 33% Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS).

⁶⁴ California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2014, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scopingplan.htm.

⁶⁵ If the GHG emissions reductions from Pavley I and the Renewable Electricity Standard are accounted for as part of the BAU scenario (30 million MTCO₂e total), then the State would need to reduce emissions by 108 million MTCO₂e, which is a 20-percent reduction from BAU.

⁶⁶ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2014, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, Pursuant to AB 32, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006,

⁶⁷ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2014, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, Pursuant to AB 32, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006,

http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/updatedscopingplan2013.htm.

⁶⁸ California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2016, June 17. 2030 Target Scoping Plan Update Concept Paper.

http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/2030_sp_concept_paper2016.pdf.

2.1.2.4 SENATE BILL 375

SB 375, the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act, was adopted in 2005 to connect the Scoping Plan's GHG emissions reductions targets for the transportation sector to local land use decisions that affect travel behavior. Its intent is to reduce GHG emissions from light-duty trucks and automobiles (excludes emissions associated with goods movement) by aligning regional long-range transportation plans, investments, and housing allocations to local land use planning to reduce VMT and vehicle trips. Specifically, SB 375 required CARB to establish GHG emissions reduction targets for each of the 18 regions in California managed by a metropolitan planning organization (MPO). The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the MPO for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region. MTC's targets are a 7 percent per capita reduction in GHG emissions from 2005 by 2020, and 15 percent per capita reduction from 2005 levels by 2035.⁶⁹ SB 375 requires CARB to periodically update the targets, no later than every 8 years. CARB plans to propose updated targets for consideration in 2016, with the intent to make them effective in 2018. Sustainable communities strategies (SCSs) adopted in 2018 would be subject to the updated targets.⁷⁰

Plan Bay Area, Strategy for a Sustainable Region

Plan Bay Area is the Bay Area's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)/Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS). The Plan Bay Area was adopted jointly by ABAG and MTC July 18, 2013.⁷¹ The SCS lays out a development scenario for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation network and other transportation measures and policies, would reduce GHG emissions from transportation (excluding goods movement) beyond the per capita reduction targets identified by CARB. According to Plan Bay Area, the Plan meets a 16 percent per capita reduction of GHG emissions by 2035 and a 10 percent per capita reduction by 2020 from 2005 conditions.

As part of the implementing framework for Plan Bay Area, local governments have identified Priority Development Areas (PDAs) to focus growth. PDAs are transit-oriented, infill development opportunity areas in existing communities. Overall, well over two-thirds of all regional growth in the Bay Area by 2040 is allocated in PDAs. PDAs are expected to accommodate 80 percent (or over 525,570 units) of new housing and 66 percent (or 744,230) of new jobs in the region.⁷² The Project is not a housing development and is not located within a PDA in El Granada.

2.1.2.5 ASSEMBLY BILL 1493

California vehicle GHG emission standards were enacted under AB 1493 (Pavley I). Pavley I is a clean-car standard that reduces GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles (light-duty auto to medium-duty vehicles) from 2009 through 2016 and is anticipated to reduce GHG emissions from new passenger vehicles by 30 percent in 2016. California implements the Pavley I standards through a waiver granted to California by the EPA. In 2012, the EPA issued a Final Rulemaking that sets even more stringent fuel economy and GHG

⁶⁹ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2010. Staff Report, Proposed Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Automobiles and Light Trucks Pursuant to Senate Bill 375, August.

⁷⁰ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2015, September 15. ARB Process and Schedule for SB 375 Target Update. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/sb375.htm.

⁷¹ It should be noted that the Bay Area Citizens filed a lawsuit on MTC's and ABAG's adoption of Plan Bay Area.

⁷² Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), 2013. Plan Bay Area: Strategy for a Sustainable Region, July 18.

emissions standards for model year 2017 through 2025 light-duty vehicles.⁷³ In January 2012, CARB approved the Advanced Clean Cars program (formerly known as Pavley II) for model years 2017 through 2025. The program combines the control of smog, soot, and global warming gases and requirements for greater numbers of zero-emission vehicles into a single package of standards. Under California's Advanced Clean Car program, by 2025, new automobiles will emit 34 percent fewer global warming gases and 75 percent fewer smog-forming emissions.⁷⁴

2.1.2.6 EXECUTIVE ORDER S-1-07

On January 18, 2007, the State set a new Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) for transportation fuels sold in California. Executive Order S-1-07 sets a declining standard for GHG emissions measured in carbon dioxide equivalent gram per unit of fuel energy sold in California. The LCFS requires a reduction of 2.5 percent in the carbon intensity of California's transportation fuels by 2015 and a reduction of at least 10 percent by 2020. The LCFS applies to refiners, blenders, producers, and importers of transportation fuels and would use market-based mechanisms to allow these providers to choose how they reduce emissions during the "fuel cycle," using the most economically feasible methods.

2.1.2.7 EXECUTIVE ORDER B-16-2012

On March 23, 2012, the State identified that CARB, the California Energy Commission (CEC), the Public Utilities Commission, and other relevant agencies worked with the Plug-in Electric Vehicle Collaborative and the California Fuel Cell Partnership to establish benchmarks to accommodate zero-emissions vehicles in major metropolitan areas, including infrastructure to support them (e.g. electric vehicle charging stations). The executive order also directs the number of zero-emission vehicles in California's State vehicle fleet to increase through the normal course of fleet replacement so that at least 10 percent of fleet purchases of light-duty vehicles are zero-emission by 2015 and at least 25 percent by 2020. The executive order also establishes a target for the transportation sector of reducing GHG emissions from the transportation sector 80 percent below 1990 levels.

2.1.2.8 SENATE BILLS 1078 AND 107 AND EXECUTIVE ORDER S-14-08

A major component of California's Renewable Energy Program is the renewable portfolio standard (RPS) established under Senate Bills 1078 (Sher) and 107 (Simitian). Under the RPS, certain retail sellers of electricity were required to increase the amount of renewable energy each year by at least 1 percent in order to reach at least 20 percent by December 30, 2010. Executive Order S-14-08 was signed in November 2008, which expanded the State's Renewable Energy Standard to 33 percent renewable power by 2020. This standard was adopted by the legislature in 2011 (SBX1-2). The increase in renewable sources for electricity

⁷³ See also the discussion on the update to the CAFE standards under federal laws, above. In January 2012, CARB approved the Advanced Clean Cars program (formerly known as Pavley II) for model years 2017 through 2025. The program combines the control of smog, soot and global warming gases and requirements for greater numbers of zero-emission vehicles into a single package of standards. Under California's Advanced Clean Car program, by 2025, new automobiles will emit 34 percent fewer global warming gases and 75 percent fewer smog-forming emissions.

⁷⁴ See also the discussion on the update to the CAFE standards under Federal Laws, above. In January 2012, CARB approved the Advanced Clean Cars program (formerly known as Pavley II) for model years 2017 through 2025. The program combines the control of smog, soot and global warming gases and requirements for greater numbers of zero-emission vehicles into a single package of standards. Under California's Advanced Clean Car program, by 2025, new automobiles will emit 34 percent fewer global warming gases and 75 percent fewer smog-forming emissions.

production will decrease indirect GHG emissions from development projects because electricity production from renewable sources is generally considered carbon neutral.

2.1.2.9 SENATE BILL 350

Senate Bill 350 (de Leon), was signed into law September 2015. SB 350 establishes tiered increases to the RPS of 40 percent by 2024, 45 percent by 2027, and 50 percent by 2030. SB 350 also set a new goal to double the energy efficiency savings in electricity and natural gas through energy efficiency and conservation measures.

2.1.2.10 CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE – BUILDING AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS

Energy conservation standards for new residential and nonresidential buildings were adopted by the California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (now the CEC) in June 1977 and most recently revised in 2013 (Title 24, Part 6, of the California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Title 24 requires the design of building shells and building components to conserve energy. The standards are updated periodically to allow for consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency technologies and methods. On May 31, 2012, the CEC adopted the 2013 Building and Energy Efficiency Standards, which went into effect on July 1, 2014. Buildings that are constructed in accordance with the 2013 Building and Energy Efficiency Standards are 25 percent (residential) to 30 percent (nonresidential) more energy efficient than the 2008 standards as a result of better windows, insulation, lighting, ventilation systems, and other features that reduce energy consumption in homes and businesses.

Most recently, the CEC adopted the 2016 Building and Energy Efficiency Standards. The 2016 Standards will continue to improve upon the current 2013 Standards for new construction of, and additions and alterations to, residential and nonresidential buildings. These standards will go into effect on January 1, 2017. Under the 2016 Standards, residential buildings are 28 percent more energy efficient than the 2013 Standards while non-residential buildings are 5 percent more energy efficient than the 2013 Standards.⁷⁵

The 2016 standards will not get us to zero net energy (ZNE). However, they do get us very close to the State's goal and make important steps toward changing residential building practices in California. The 2019 standards will take the final step to achieve ZNE for newly constructed residential buildings throughout California. ⁷⁶

2.1.2.11 CALIFORNIA GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE – CALGREEN

On July 17, 2008, the California Building Standards Commission adopted the nation's first green building standards. The California Green Building Standards Code (Part 11, Title 24, known as "CALGreen") was adopted as part of the California Building Standards Code (Title 24, CCR). CALGreen established planning and design standards for sustainable site development, energy efficiency (in excess of the California Energy Code requirements), water conservation, material conservation, and internal air contaminants.⁷⁷ The

⁷⁵ California Energy Commission (CEC). 2015, June 10. 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Adoption Hearing Presentation. http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents.

⁷⁶ California Energy Commission (CEC). 2015. 2016 Building Energy and Efficiency Standards Frequently Asked Questions.

http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/2016_Building_Energy_Efficiency_Standards_FAQ.pdf. 77 The green building standards became mandatory in the 2010 edition of the code.

mandatory provisions of the California Green Building Code Standards became effective January 1, 2011, was updated in 2013, and became effective January 1, 2014.

2.1.2.12 2006 APPLIANCE ENERGY EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS

The 2006 Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Title 20, CCR Sections 1601 through 1608) were adopted by the California Energy Commission on October 11, 2006, and approved by the California Office of Administrative Law on December 14, 2006. The regulations include standards for both federally regulated appliances and non-federally regulated appliances. Though these regulations are now often viewed as "business-as-usual," they exceed the standards imposed by all other states, and they reduce GHG emissions by reducing energy demand.

2.1.2.13 SOLID WASTE REGULATIONS

California's Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (AB 939, Public Resources Code 40050 et seq.) set a requirement for cities and counties throughout the State to divert 50 percent of all solid waste from landfills by January 1, 2000, through source reduction, recycling, and composting. In 2008, the requirements were modified to reflect a per capita requirement rather than tonnage. To help achieve this, the act requires that each city and county prepare and submit a source reduction and recycling element. AB 939 also established the goal for all California counties to provide at least 15 years of ongoing landfill capacity.

AB 341 (Chapter 476, Statutes of 2011) increased the statewide goal for waste diversion to 75 percent by 2020 and requires recycling of waste from commercial and multifamily residential land uses.

The California Solid Waste Reuse and Recycling Access Act (AB 1327, California Public Resources Code Sections 42900 et seq.) requires areas to be set aside for collecting and loading recyclable materials in development projects. The act required the California Integrated Waste Management Board to develop a model ordinance for adoption by any local agency requiring adequate areas for collection and loading of recyclable materials as part of development projects. Local agencies are required to adopt the model or an ordinance of their own.

Section 5.408 of the 2013 California Green Building Standards Code (Title 24, California Code of Regulations, Part 11) also requires that at least 50 percent of the nonhazardous construction and demolition waste from nonresidential construction operations be recycled and/or salvaged for reuse.

2.1.2.14 WATER EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS

The 20x2020 Water Conservation Plan was issued by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) in 2010 pursuant to Senate Bill 7, which was adopted during the 7th Extraordinary Session of 2009–2010 and therefore dubbed "SBX7-7." SBX7-7 mandated urban water conservation and authorized the DWR to prepare a plan implementing urban water conservation requirements (20x2020 Water Conservation Plan). In addition, it required agricultural water providers to prepare agricultural water management plans, measure water deliveries to customers, and implement other efficiency measures. SBX7-7 requires urban water providers to adopt a water conservation target of 20 percent reduction in urban per capita water use by 2020 compared to 2005 baseline use.

The Water Conservation in Landscaping Act of 2006 (AB 1881) requires local agencies to adopt the updated DWR model ordinance or equivalent. AB 1881 also requires the Energy Commission, in consultation with the department, to adopt, by regulation, performance standards and labeling requirements for landscape irrigation equipment, including irrigation controllers, moisture sensors, emission devices, and valves to reduce the wasteful, uneconomic, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy or water.

2.1.3 Local Regulations

2.1.3.1 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

The County of San Mateo adopted its Climate Action Plan (CAP) in April 2015. The CAP provides a roadmap for the County to become a more sustainable community by calling for changes in green building practices, energy efficiency, transit-oriented development, mixed-use/higher-density development, recycling and composting, water conservation, and renewable energy. The CAP identifies a target for the County to achieve 20 percent below 2005 baseline levels by year 2020, 62.7 percent below 2005 baseline levels by 2040, and 82.5 below 2005 baseline levels by 2050. To achieve the County's GHG reduction target, the CAP outlines energy, transportation, land use, water, solid waste, and off-road equipment GHG reduction measures that would be implemented in the city.⁷⁸

2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.2.1 Existing Emissions

The 2.69-acre Project site is currently undeveloped developed with an existing high school, and does not generate GHG emissions from vehicle trips, energy use (indirectly from purchased electricity use and directly through fuel consumed for building heating), area sources (e.g., equipment used on-site, consumer products, coatings), water/wastewater generation, or waste disposal.

2.3 METHODOLOGY

The BAAQMD CEQA Air Quality Guidelines were prepared to assist in the evaluation of air quality impacts of projects and plans proposed within the Bay Area. The guidelines provide recommended procedures for evaluating potential GHG emissions impacts during the environmental review process, consistent with CEQA requirements, and include recommended thresholds of significance, mitigation measures, and background information.

2.3.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions

BAAQMD has a tiered approach for assessing GHG emissions impacts of a project. If a project is within the jurisdiction of an agency that has a "qualified" GHG reduction strategy, the project can assess consistency of its GHG emissions impacts with the reduction strategy.

⁷⁸ County of Sam Mateo, 2015, County of San Mateo Climate Action Plan.

BAAQMD has adopted screening criteria and significance criteria for development projects that would be applicable for the proposed Project. If a project exceeds the Guidelines' GHG screening-level sizes, the project would be required to conduct a full GHG analysis using the following BAAQMD significance criteria:

- 1,100 MT of CO₂e per year; or
- 4.6 MT of CO₂e per service population (SP) for year 2020

AB 32 requires the statewide GHG emission be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020. On a per-capita basis, that means reducing the annual emissions of 14 tons of carbon dioxide for every man, woman, and child in California down to about 10 tons per person by 2020.79 Hence, BAAQMD's per capita significance threshold is calculated based on the State's land use sector emissions inventory prepared by CARB and the demographic forecasts for the 2008 Scoping Plan. The land use sector GHG emissions for 1990 were estimated by BAAQMD, as identified in Appendix D of the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, to be 295.53 MMTCO2eand the 2020 California service population (SP) to be 64.3 million. Therefore, the significance threshold that would ensure consistency with the GHG reduction goals of AB 32 is estimated at 4.6 MTCO₂e/SP for year 2020.80 Land use development projects include residential, commercial, industrial, and public land use facilities. Direct sources of emissions may include on-site combustion of energy, such as natural gas used for heating and cooking, emissions from industrial processes (not applicable for most land use development projects), and fuel combustion from mobile sources. Indirect emissions are emissions produced off-site from energy production, water conveyance due to a project's energy use and water consumption, and non-biogenic emissions from waste disposal. Biogenic CO_2 emissions are not included in the quantification of a project's GHG emissions, because biogenic CO₂ is derived from living biomass (e.g. organic matter present in wood, paper, vegetable oils, animal fat, food, animal, and yard waste) as opposed to fossil fuels. Although GHG emissions from waste generation are included in the GHG inventory for the proposed Project, the efficiency threshold of 4.6 MTCO₂e per service population for 2020 identified above does not include the waste sector, and it is therefore not considered in the evaluation.

BAAQMD does not have thresholds of significance for construction-related GHG emissions, but requires quantification and disclosure of construction-related GHG emissions..⁸¹

⁷⁹ California Air Resources Board (CARB), 2008. Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.

⁸⁰ Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2011 (revised), California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

⁸¹ Bay Area Air Quality Management District, 2011 (revised), California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.

3. References

- Bay Area Air Quality Management District. 2006. Community Air Risk Evaluation Program, Phase I Findings and Policy Recommendations Related to Toxic Air Contaminants in the San Francisco Bay Area.
- . 2010a (Revised 2011). California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines.
- . 2010b. Air Toxics NSR Program, Health Risk Screening Analysis Guidelines.
- . 2011a. Recommended Methods for Screening and Modeling Local Risks and Hazards.
- . 2011b. Screening Tables for Air Toxics Evaluations During Construction.
- ———. 2014, April. Improving Air Quality & Health in Bay Area Communities, Community Air Risk Program (CARE) Retrospective & Path Forward (2004 – 2013).
- ———. 2016a. Air Quality Standards and Attainment Status, http://www.baaqmd.gov/research-and-data/air-quality-standards-and-attainment-status, Accessed January 12, 2016.
- ———. 2016b, Plans Under Development, Clean Air Plan and Regional Climate Protection Strategy, http://www.baaqmd.gov/plans-and-climate/air-quality-plans/plans-under-development, accessed on April 20, 2016
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2013. California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod). Version 2013.2.2. Prepared by: ENVIRON International Corporation and the California Air Districts.
- California Air Resources Board. 1999. California Air Resources Board (CARB). Final Staff Report: Update to the Toxic Air Contaminant List.
- ———. 2007, November. California Greenhouse Gas Inventory (millions of metric tons of CO2-equivalent): Summary by Economic Sector
- . 2008, October. Climate Change Scoping Plan: A Framework for Change.
- ———. 2010, August. Staff Report Proposed Regional Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Targets for Automobiles and Light Trucks Pursuant to Senate Bill 375.
- ———. 2012, April. California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000–2009: By Category as Defined by the Scoping Plan.

 \setminus

- -. 2014, May 15. First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan: Building on the Framework, Pursuant to AB 32, The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/updatedscopingplan2013.htm. -. 2015a, December. Area Designations Maps: State and National. http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm. -. 2015b, September 15. ARB Process and Schedule for SB 375 Target Update. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/sb375.htm. —. 2016a. Air Pollution Data Monitoring Cards (2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015). Accessed, May 3, 2016, http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/index.html. -. 2016b, May 4. Ambient Air Quality Standards. http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/aaqs2.pdf. -----. 2016c, June. 2016 Edition California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000-2014 --- by Category as Defined in the 2008 Scoping Plan. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm -. 2016d, June 17. State of California, 2030 Target Scoping Plan Update Concept Paper. http://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/document/2030_sp_concept_paper2016.pdf. California Climate Action Team (CAT), 2006. Climate Action Team Report to Governor Schwarzenegger and the Legislature. March. California Climate Change Center. 2012, July. Our Changing Climate 2012: Vulnerability & Adaptation to the Increasing Risks from Climate Change in California. California Energy Commission. 2005. Climate Change Emissions Estimates from Bemis, Gerry and Jennifer Allen, Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 to 2002 Update. California Energy Commission Staff Paper CEC-600-2005-025. Sacramento, California. June. -. 2006a. Inventory of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990 to 2004. Report CEC-600-2006-013-SF. December. -. 2006b. Our Changing Climate: Assessing the Risks to California. 2006 Biennial Report, California Climate Change Center. CEC-500-2006-077. -. 2009, May. The Future Is Now: An Update on Climate Change Science, Impacts, and Response Options for California. CEC-500-2008-0077. - 2015a, June 10. 2016 Building Energy Efficiency Standards, Adoption Hearing Presentation. http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents.
 - ——. 2015b. 2016 Building Energy and Efficiency Standards Frequently Asked Questions. http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2016standards/rulemaking/documents/2016_Building_Energy_ Efficiency_Standards_FAQ.pdf.

- California Natural Resources Agency. 2009, December. Final Statement of Reasons for Regulatory Action: Amendments to the State CEQA Guidelines Addressing Analysis and Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Pursuant to Senate Bill 97.
- ———. 2014, July. Safeguarding California: Reducing Climate Risk, An Update to the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy.
- Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). 2008, June. Technical Advisory, CEQA and Climate Change: Addressing Climate Change Through CEQA Review. http://www.opr.ca.gov/ceqa/pdfs/june08-ceqa.pdf.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 1995. Second Assessment Report: Climate Change 1995.
- ------. 2001. Third Assessment Report: Climate Change 2001. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2007. Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG). 2013a. Priority Development Area Showcase. http://gis.abag.ca.gov/website/PDAShowcase/ Accessed April 2016.
- . 2013b, July 18. Plan Bay Area: Strategy for a Sustainable Region.
- South Coast Air Quality Management District. 2005. Guidance Document for Addressing Air Quality Issues in General Plans and Local Planning.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2009, December. EPA: Greenhouse Gases Threaten Public Health and the Environment, Science overwhelmingly shows greenhouse gas concentrations at unprecedented levels due to human activity. http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/08D11A451131BCA585257685005BF252.
- 2013. Determination of Attainment for the San Francisco Bay Area Nonattainment Area for the 2006 Fine Particle Standard; California; Determination Regarding Applicability of Clean Air Act Requirements. Federal Docket# EPA-R09-OAR-2012-0782. https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/01/09/2013-00170/determination-of-attainment-for-the-san-francisco-bay-area-nonattainment-area-for-the-2006-fine.
 - ——. 2015. Overview of Greenhouse Gases. http://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/gases.html.
 - ——. County of San Mateo. 2015, April. Climate Action Plan. http://www.cityofsanmateo.org/DocumentCenter/View/45410

CalEEMod Inputs (Construction Run)

Name:	El Granada Fire Station 41
Project Location:	531 Obispo Road, El Granada, CA
County/Air Basin:	San Mateo
Climate Zone:	5
Land Use Setting:	Urban
Operational Year:	2017
Utility Company:	Pacific Gas and Electric

	SQFT	Acreage
Total Site Area:	117,093	2.69
Disturbed Site Area	52,272	1.20
Impervious Area	33,214	0.8
Components	SQFT	Acreage
Fire Station	12,425	0.29
Parking Lot	3,000	0.07
Non-Parking Asphalt	17,789	0.41
Landscaping	19,058	0.44

CalEEMod Land Use Inputs

Land Use	Land Use Type	Land Use Subtype	Unit Amount	Size Metric	Lot Acreage	Square Feet
Fire Station	Commercial	Government Civic Center	12.43	1000sqft	0.72	12,425
Parking Lot	Parking	Parking Lot	17.00	spaces	0.07	3,000
Non-Parking Asphalt	Parking	Non-Parking Asphalt	17.79	1000sqft	0.41	17,789
					1.20	

1.20

Notes:

* Zeroed out Land Use Square Feet for landscaping and Hardscaping to exclude striping and painting.

Soil Haul

	Total Export Volume		Import +	Haul Truck	Total Trip		Maximum Tri
Construction Activities	(CY)*	Total Import Volume (CY)*	Export (CY)	Capacity (CY)**	Ends	Total Days*	Ends/Day
Soil Haul	10,150	160	10,310	16	1,289	20	65
Haul Distance based on CalEEMod Default of 20 I	Viles						
*Provided by the Applicant.							
**CalEEMod Default							
Architectural Coating							
Provided by the Applicant							
Interior Paint VOC content:	: 100	g/L					
Exterior Paint VOC content:	150	g/L					
Non-Residential Architectural Coating							
Percentage of Buildings' Interior Painted	: 85%						
Percentage of Buildings' Exterior Painted							
			Total		Paintable		
			Paintable	Paintable	Exterior		
Non-Residential Structures	Land Use Square Feet	CalEEMod Application Factor	Surface Area ²	Interior Area ¹	Area ¹		
Fire Station	12,425	2	24,850	15,842	4,038		
Parking Lot	3,000	0.06	180	0	180		
				15,842	4,218		

Notes:

1 *CalEEMod methodology calculates the paintable interior and exterior areas by multiplying the total paintable surface area by 75 and 25 percent, respectively. Architectural coatings for the parking lot is based on CalEEMod methodology applied to a surface parking lot (i.e., striping), in which 6% of surface area is painted.

 $^2\,**$ Applied CalEEMod Methodology in calculating total

Construction - Unmitigated Run

BAAQMD BMPs			
Replace Ground Cover	PM10:	5	% Reduction
	PM25:	5	% Reduction
Water Exposed Area	Frequency:	2	per day
	PM10:	55	% Reduction
	PM25:	55	% Reduction
Unpaved Roads	Vehicle Speed:	15	mph
Clean Paved Road	99	% PM Reduction	

CalEEMod Construction Phase Inputs*

5-Day Work Week/8 hours per day

Phase Name		Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	CalEEMod Total Days	Total Days
Site Preparation		Site Prep	10/9/2016	10/15/2016	5	7
Grading		Grading	10/16/2016	11/27/2016	30	43
	Grading Haul	Grading	10/30/2016	11/27/2016	20	29
Building Construction		Building Construction	11/28/2016	12/23/2017	280	391
Paving		Paving	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	30	41
Painting		Architectural Coating	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	30	41
			Year	Start Date	End Date	Days
			2016	10/9/2016	12/31/2016	60
			2017	1/1/2017	12/23/2017	255
						315

*Based on construction schedule provided by the Applicant.

CalEEMod Construction Off-Road Equipment Inputs*

		Unit	Hours Per			Daily Vendor
Equipment Type	CalEEMod Equipment Type	Amount	Day	HP	LF	Trips
Site Preparation						
Graders	Graders	1	8	174	0.41	
Scraper	Scrapers	1	8	361	0.4824	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	7	97	0.3685	
Water truck						4
Workers		8				
Vendors						
Grading						
Graders	Graders	1	6	174	0.41	
Rubber Tiered Dozer	Rubber Tiered Dozer	1	6	255	0.4	
Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	7	97	0.3685	
Water truck						4
Workers		10				
Vendors						
Building Construction						
Aerial Lifts	Aerial Lifts	1	8	62	0.31	
Forklift	Forklift	1	6	89	0.2	
Generator Sets	Generator Sets	1	8	84	0.74	
Tractor/Loader/Backhoe	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6	97	0.37	
Welders	Welders	3	8	46	0.46	
Workers		48				
Vendors						19
Paving			<i>c</i>	0	0.50	
Cement and Mortar Mixers	Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	6	9	0.56	
Pavers	Pavers	1	6	125	0.42	
Paving Equipment	Paving Equipment Rollers	1	8	130 80	0.36 0.38	
Rollers		1 1	7 8	80 97	0.38	
Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	_	8	97	0.37	
Workers Vendors		15				
Architectural Coating Air Compressors	Air Compressors	1	6	78	0.48	
Workers	An compressors	10	U	78 89	0.48	
Vendors		10		62	0.2	2
VEHICUIS				02	0.51	2

*CalEEMod default approved by Applicant.

**Assume 4 vendor trips for water trucks.

						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total		0.54	3.07	3.31	0.01	0.12	0.18	0.31	0.04	0.17	0.22
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total Onsite		0.47	2.59	2.28	0.00	0.04	0.18	0.21	0.02	0.17	0.19
Total Offsite		0.07	0.48	1.03	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.01	0.03
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total 2016	-	0.09	0.80	0.74	0.00	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.06
Total 2017		0.45	2.27	2.57	0.00	0.07	0.14	0.21	0.02	0.14	0.16
FOR CONSTRUCTION RISK ASSESS	MENT - Unr	nitigated R	n								
	-					Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Onsite		0.07	0.57	0.42	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.05
2016 Offsite		0.02	0.23	0.32	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01
2017 Onsite		0.40	2.02	1.86	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.14
2017 Offsite		0.05	0.25	0.71	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.02
Site Prep - 2016											
Unmitigated Construction	1										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Fugitive Dust						6.20E-03	0	6.20E-03	3.16E-03	0	3.16E-03
Off-Road		6.11E-03	0.0644	0.0413	4.00E-05		3.50E-03	3.50E-03		3.22E-03	3.22E-03
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vendor		1.30E-04	1.01E-03	1.73E-03	0	6.00E-05	1.00E-05	7.00E-05	2.00E-05	1.00E-05	3.00E-05
Worker		7.00E-05	1.10E-04	1.06E-03	0	1.70E-04	0	1.70E-04	4.00E-05	0	5.00E-05
Total		0.01	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
TOTAL ONSITE		0.01	0.06	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
TOTAL OFFSITE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Grading -	2016											
Crucing	Unmitigated Construction											
							Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
	Fugitive Dust	••					0.0315	0	0.0315	0.0162	0	0.0162
	Off-Road		0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.10E-04		0.0171	0.0171		0.0157	0.0157
	Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Vendor		7.80E-04	6.08E-03	0.0104	1.00E-05	3.60E-04	9.00E-05	4.50E-04	1.00E-04	8.00E-05	1.80E-04
	Worker		5.40E-04	8.30E-04	7.94E-03	2.00E-05	1.25E-03	1.00E-05	1.26E-03	3.30E-04	1.00E-05	3.40E-04
	Total		0.03	0.32	0.22	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.03
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.03	0.32	0.21	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.03
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grading H	1aul - 2016											
	Unmitigated Construction											
							Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
	Fugitive Dust						2.50E-04	0	2.50E-04	4.00E-05	0	4.00E-05
	Off-Road		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
	Hauling		0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.70E-04	0.01	2.38E-03	0.0124	2.77E-03	2.19E-03	4.96E-03
	Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Worker		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0.02	0.19	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.02	0.19	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Building (Construction - 2016											
	Unmitigated Construction						F	E de a cont	DN 44.0	F	F ub a cost	DN 42 E
	Catagon	10.00 l	DOC	NO	~~~	602	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category Off-Road	tons/yr	ROG 0.0351	NOx 0.1884	CO 0.1694	SO2 2.40E-04	PM10	PM10	Total 0.0139	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
			0.0351		0.1694		0	0.0139 0	0.0139	0	0.0136 0	0.0136
	Hauling		-	0	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0
	Vendor		3.11E-03	0.0241	0.0412	6.00E-05	1.42E-03	3.40E-04	1.76E-03	4.10E-04	3.20E-04	7.30E-04
	Worker		2.15E-03	3.34E-03 0.22	0.0318	6.00E-05	5.00E-03 0.01	4.00E-05	5.04E-03	1.34E-03	4.00E-05 0.01	1.38E-03 0.02
	Total		0.04	0.22	0.24	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.04	0.19	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.01	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00

Unmitigated Constructior	,										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	To
Off-Road		0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.47E-03		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.12
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vendor		0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.70E-04	0.0145	3.04E-03	0.0175	4.19E-03	2.79E-03	6.98E-
Worker		0.0194	0.0306	0.289	6.50E-04	0.051	4.20E-04	0.0514	0.0137	3.90E-04	0.01
Total		0.37	2.05	2.39	0.00	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.02	0.13	0.
TOTAL ONSITE		0.32	1.80	1.70	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.12	0.
TOTAL OFFSITE		0.05	0.25	0.69	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0
Paving - 2017											
Unmitigated Construction	า										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Тс
Off-Road		0.0178	0.1815	0.1355	2.00E-04		0.011	0.011		0.0101	0.03
Paving		9.00E-05					0	0		0	
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worker		7.10E-04	1.13E-03	0.0106	2.00E-05	1.88E-03	2.00E-05	1.89E-03	5.00E-04	1.00E-05	5.20E-
Total		0.02	0.18	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0
TOTAL ONSITE		0.02	0.18	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0
TOTAL OFFSITE		0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Painting - 2017											
Unmitigated Construction	ו										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Тс
Archit. Coating		0.0514					0	0		0	
Off-Road		4.98E-03	0.0328	0.028	4.00E-05		2.60E-03	2.60E-03		2.60E-03	2.60E
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worker		4.80E-04	7.50E-04	7.08E-03	2.00E-05	1.25E-03	1.00E-05	1.26E-03	3.30E-04	1.00E-05	3.40E
Total		0.06	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	C
TOTAL ONSITE		0.06	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

Annual emissions divided by total construction duration to obtain average daily emissions. Average construction emissions accounts for the duration of each construction phase and the time each piece of construction equipment is onsite.

Unmigated Run - with Best Control Measures for Fugitive Dust

					Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total	3.43	19.48	21.02	0.03	0.79	1.15	1.95	0.27	1.11	1.38
BAAQMD Threshold	54	54	NA	NA	BMP	82	54	BMP	54	NA
Exceeds Threshold	No	No	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA
					Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
TOTAL 2016	3.14	26.59	24.66	0.04	1.87	1.25	3.12	0.81	1.17	1.99
TOTAL 2017	3.49	17.81	20.16	0.03	0.54	1.13	1.67	0.15	1.10	1.24

FOR CONSTRUCTION RISK ASSESSMENT

	Onsite Details										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Onsite		2.37	18.94	13.86	0.02	1.27	1.15	2.42	0.65	1.08	1.73
2017 Onsite		3.10	15.82	14.61	0.02	0.00	1.10	1.10	0.00	1.07	1.07
	Offsite Details										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Offsite		0.77	7.65	10.80	0.02	0.61	0.10	0.71	0.17	0.09	0.26
2017 Offsite		0.39	1.99	5.55	0.01	0.54	0.03	0.57	0.15	0.03	0.17

						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total		0.22	2.26	3.11	0.01	0.12	0.02	0.15	0.04	0.02	0.07
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total Onsite		0.14	1.78	2.08	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04
Total Offsite		0.07	0.48	1.03	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.01	0.03
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total 2016		0.04	0.49	0.64	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.03
Total 2017		0.18	1.77	2.47	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.04
FOR CONSTRUCTION RISK ASSESS	MENT - Mit	igated Run									
		-				Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Onsite		0.01	0.26	0.32	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.02
2016 Offsite		0.02	0.23	0.32	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01
2017 Onsite		0.13	1.52	1.76	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
2017 Offsite		0.05	0.25	0.71	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.02
Site Prep - 2016											
Mitigated Construction						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Fugitive Dust						6.20E-03	0	6.20E-03	3.16E-03	0	3.16E-03
Off-Road		1.04E-03	0.0208	0.0277	4.00E-05		1.50E-04	1.50E-04		1.50E-04	1.50E-04
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vendor		1.30E-04	1.01E-03	1.73E-03	0	6.00E-05	1.00E-05	7.00E-05	2.00E-05	1.00E-05	3.00E-05
Worker		7.00E-05	1.10E-04	1.06E-03	0	1.70E-04	0	1.70E-04	4.00E-05	0	5.00E-05
Total		0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL ONSITE		0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTAL OFFSITE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Grading -	2016											
Sidding	Mitigated Construction											
							Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
	Fugitive Dust						0.0315	0	0.0315	0.0162	0	0.0162
	Off-Road		5.12E-03	0.1026	0.1357	2.10E-04		7.40E-04	7.40E-04		7.40E-04	7.40E-04
	Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Vendor		7.80E-04	6.08E-03	0.0104	1.00E-05	3.60E-04	9.00E-05	4.50E-04	1.00E-04	8.00E-05	1.80E-04
	Worker		5.40E-04	8.30E-04	7.94E-03	2.00E-05	1.25E-03	1.00E-05	1.26E-03	3.30E-04	1.00E-05	3.40E-04
	Total		0.01	0.11	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.01	0.10	0.14	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grading H	laul - 2016											
	Mitigated Construction											
							Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
	Fugitive Dust						2.50E-04	0	2.50E-04	4.00E-05	0	4.00E-05
	Off-Road		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0
	Hauling		0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.70E-04	0.01	2.38E-03	0.0124	2.77E-03	2.19E-03	4.96E-03
	Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Worker		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0.02	0.19	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.02	0.19	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Building (Construction - 2016											
	Mitigated Construction											
							Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
	Off-Road		7.19E-03	0.1369	0.1554	2.40E-04	_	1.35E-03	1.35E-03	_	1.35E-03	1.35E-03
	Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Vendor		3.11E-03	0.0241	0.0412	6.00E-05	1.42E-03	3.40E-04	1.76E-03	4.10E-04	3.20E-04	7.30E-04
	Worker		2.15E-03	3.34E-03	0.0318	6.00E-05	5.00E-03	4.00E-05	5.04E-03	1.34E-03	4.00E-05	1.38E-03
	Total		0.01	0.16	0.23	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL ONSITE		0.01	0.14	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	TOTAL OFFSITE		0.01	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00

Building Construction - 2											
Mitigated Co	nstruction					Fusitive	Eule au at	DN/10	Eusitius	E ybeyet	
Catagory	tonelur	POC	NOV	<u> </u>	502	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	To
Off-Road		0.0733	1.3961	1.5847	2.47E-03		0.0137	0.0137	•	0.0137	0.01
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 005
Vendor		0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.70E-04	0.0145	3.04E-03	0.0175	4.19E-03	2.79E-03	6.98E-
Worker		0.0194	0.0306	0.289	6.50E-04	0.051	4.20E-04	0.0514	0.0137	3.90E-04	0.01
Total		0.12	1.65	2.27	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.
TOTAL ONSIT	E	0.07	1.40	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.
TOTAL OFFSI	re	0.05	0.25	0.69	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0
Paving - 2017											
Mitigated Co	nstruction										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Тс
Off-Road		5.38E-03	0.1023	0.149	2.00E-04		1.02E-03	1.02E-03		1.02E-03	1.02E
Paving		9.00E-05					0	0		0	
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worker		7.10E-04	1.13E-03	0.0106	2.00E-05	1.88E-03	2.00E-05	1.89E-03	5.00E-04	1.00E-05	5.20E-
Total		0.01	0.10	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
TOTAL ONSIT	E	0.01	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
TOTAL OFFSI	re in the second se	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
Painting - 2017											
Mitigated Co	nstruction										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM
Category	tons/yr	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Тс
Archit. Coatir	g	0.0514					0	0		0	
Off-Road		8.90E-04	0.0204	0.0275	4.00E-05		2.10E-04	2.10E-04		2.10E-04	2.10E
Hauling		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vendor		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Worker		4.80E-04	7.50E-04	7.08E-03	2.00E-05	1.25E-03	1.00E-05	1.26E-03	3.30E-04	1.00E-05	3.40E
Total		0.05	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
TOTAL ONSIT	E	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

Annual emissions divided by total construction duration to obtain average daily emissions. Average construction emissions accounts for the duration of each construction phase and the time each piece of construction equipment is onsite.

Migated Run - with Tier 3 Engines and Level 3 Diesel Particulate Filters

					Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
Total	1.38	14.36	19.76	0.03	0.79	0.15	0.94	0.27	0.15	0.42
BAAQMD Threshold	54	54	NA	NA	BMP	82	54	BMP	54	NA
Exceeds Threshold	No	No	NA	NA	NA	No	No	NA	No	NA
					Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
TOTAL 2016	1.22	16.32	21.43	0.04	1.87	0.17	2.04	0.81	0.16	0.98
TOTAL 2017	1.42	13.90	19.37	0.03	0.54	0.14	0.68	0.15	0.14	0.29

FOR CONSTRUCTION RISK ASSESSMENT

	Onsite Details										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Onsite		0.45	8.68	10.63	0.02	1.27	0.07	1.34	0.65	0.07	0.72
2017 Onsite		1.03	11.91	13.81	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.12
	Offsite Details										
						Fugitive	Exhaust	PM10	Fugitive	Exhaust	PM2.5
	avg lbs/day	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM10	Total	PM2.5	PM2.5	Total
2016 Offsite		0.77	7.65	10.80	0.02	0.61	0.10	0.71	0.17	0.09	0.26
2017 Offsite		0.39	1.99	5.55	0.01	0.54	0.03	0.57	0.15	0.03	0.17

Greenhouse Gas Emissions Summary Operation

- - - - -

Construction

Construction	
	MTons Total
2016	99.8706
2017	329.2863
Total Construction	429.157
30-Year Amortization	14
BAAQMD Threshold	1100
Exceeds Threshold	No

El Granada Fire Station 41 Construction San Mateo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Government (Civic Center)	12.43	1000sqft	0.72	12,425.00	0
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	17.79	1000sqft	0.41	17,789.00	0
Parking Lot	17.00	Space	0.07	3,000.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	70					
Climate Zone	5			Operational Year	2017					
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Company									
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	641.35	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006					

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Se CalEEMod Assumptions

Construction Phase - Grading must accommodate Haul

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Provided in Construction Request

Off-road Equipment - No Demo

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Haul Phase

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment -

Trips and VMT - See CalEEMod Assumptions File

Grading -

Architectural Coating - CalEEMod

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - BAAQMD BMPs

Column Name	Default Value	New Value
ConstArea_Nonresidential_Exterior	15,152.00	4,218.00
ConstArea_Nonresidential_Interior	45,456.00	15,842.00
CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction	0	9
NumDays	10.00	30.00
NumDays	200.00	280.00
NumDays	20.00	1.00
NumDays	4.00	30.00
NumDays	4.00	20.00
NumDays	10.00	30.00
NumDays	2.00	5.00
PhaseEndDate	2/2/2018	12/23/2017
PhaseEndDate	12/22/2017	12/23/2017
PhaseEndDate	11/25/2016	11/27/2016
PhaseEndDate	12/23/2016	11/27/2016
PhaseEndDate	2/2/2018	12/23/2017
PhaseEndDate	10/17/2016	10/15/2016
PhaseStartDate	12/24/2017	11/13/2017
PhaseStartDate	11/28/2016	10/30/2016
PhaseStartDate	12/24/2017	11/13/2017
PhaseStartDate	10/11/2016	10/9/2016
MaterialExported	0.00	10,150.00
MaterialImported	0.00	160.00
LandUseSquareFeet	12,430.00	12,425.00
LandUseSquareFeet	17,790.00	17,789.00
	ConstArea_Nonresidential_Exterior ConstArea_Nonresidential_Interior CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction NumDays NumDays NumDays NumDays NumDays NumDays NumDays PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseStartDate	ConstArea_Nonresidential_Exterior15,152.00ConstArea_Nonresidential_Interior45,456.00CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction0NumDays10.00NumDays200.00NumDays20.00NumDays4.00NumDays4.00NumDays2.00NumDays2.00NumDays2.00NumDays2.00NumDays2.00NumDays2.00PhaseEndDate2/2/2018PhaseEndDate11/25/2016PhaseEndDate12/22/2017PhaseEndDate2/2/2018PhaseEndDate10/17/2016PhaseEndDate10/17/2016PhaseEndDate11/28/2016PhaseStartDate11/28/2016PhaseStartDate11/28/2016PhaseStartDate11/28/2016PhaseStartDate10/11/2016MaterialExported0.00MaterialImported0.00LandUseSquareFeet12,430.00

tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	6,800.00	3,000.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.29	0.72
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.15	0.07
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	6.00	0.00
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2017
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	4.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	4.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	5.00	19.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	8.00	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	13.00	48.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	13.00	15.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	3.00	10.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr												ΜT	/yr		
2016	0.0943	0.7977	0.7398	1.1200e- 003	0.1085	0.0374	0.1459	0.0507	0.0352	0.0859	0.0000	99.6276	99.6276	0.0121	0.0000	99.8821

2017	0.4455	2.2703	2.5701	3.9700e- 003	0.0742	0.1442	0.2183	0.0201	0.1398	0.1599	0.0000	328.3723	328.3723	0.0490	0.0000	329.4008
Total	0.5397	3.0680	3.3098	5.0900e- 003	0.1826	0.1816	0.3642	0.0708	0.1750	0.2458	0.0000	427.9999	427.9999	0.0611	0.0000	429.2828

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					tor	is/yr							M	Г/yr		
2016	0.0943	0.7977	0.7398	1.1200e- 003	0.0562	0.0374	0.0936	0.0244	0.0352	0.0596	0.0000	99.6276	99.6276	0.0121	0.0000	99.8820
2017	0.4455	2.2703	2.5701	3.9700e- 003	0.0686	0.1442	0.2128	0.0187	0.1398	0.1585	0.0000	328.3720	328.3720	0.0490	0.0000	329.4005
Total	0.5397	3.0680	3.3098	5.0900e- 003	0.1248	0.1816	0.3064	0.0431	0.1750	0.2181	0.0000	427.9996	427.9996	0.0611	0.0000	429.2825
	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.65	0.00	15.87	39.10	0.00	11.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	T/yr		
Area	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Energy	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	64.3915	64.3915	2.5600e- 003	7.2000e- 004	64.6695
Mobile	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339

Waste	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	14.3819	0.0000	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.7834	5.4280	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111
Total	0.2725	0.2304	1.1879	2.4100e- 003	0.1751	3.8400e- 003	0.1789	0.0470	3.6100e- 003	0.0506	15.1653	248.5862	263.7516	0.9412	2.6700e- 003	284.3461

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	is/yr							M	Г/yr		
Area	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Energy	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	64.3915	64.3915	2.5600e- 003	7.2000e- 004	64.6695
Mobile	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	14.3819	0.0000	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Water			0)*****		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.7834	5.4280	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Total	0.2725	0.2304	1.1879	2.4100e- 003	0.1751	3.8400e- 003	0.1789	0.0470	3.6100e- 003	0.0506	15.1653	248.5862	263.7516	0.9412	2.6700e- 003	284.3448

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition		10/10/2016	5	1	

2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/9/2016	10/15/2016	5	5	
3	Grading	Grading	10/16/2016	11/27/2016	5	30	
4	Grading Haul	Grading	10/30/2016	11/27/2016	5	20	
5	Building Construction	Building Construction	11/28/2016	12/23/2017	5	280	
6	Paving	Paving	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	5	30	***************************************
7	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	5	30	***************************************

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 2.5

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 11.25

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 15,842; Non-Residential Outdoor: 4,218 (Architectural Coating -

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	8.00	255	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	8.00	97	0.37
Site Preparation	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	7.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Graders	1	6.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	6.00	255	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	7.00	97	0.37
Grading Haul	Graders	0	6.00	174	0.41
Grading Haul	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	6.00	255	0.40
Grading Haul	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Aerial Lifts	1	8.00	62	0.31
Building Construction	Cranes	0	0.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	1	6.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74

Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	3	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	6.00	9	0.56
Paving	Pavers	1	6.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	1	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	1	7.00	80	0.38
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	3	8.00	4.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	3	10.00	4.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading Haul	0	0.00	0.00	1,289.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	7	48.00	19.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	5	15.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	10.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Replace Ground Cover

Water Exposed Area

Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads

Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							Π	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							M	⊺/yr		
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	T/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

3.3 Site Preparation - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0145	0.0000	0.0145	7.3800e- 003	0.0000	7.3800e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005		3.5000e- 003	3.5000e- 003		3.2200e- 003	3.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650
Total	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005	0.0145	3.5000e- 003	0.0180	7.3800e- 003	3.2200e- 003	0.0106	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3000e- 004	1.0100e- 003	1.7300e- 003	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.2116	0.2116	0.0000	0.0000	0.2116
Worker	7.0000e- 005	1.1000e- 004	1.0600e- 003	0.0000	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	1.8000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1630	0.1630	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1632
Total	2.0000e- 004	1.1200e- 003	2.7900e- 003	0.0000	2.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3746	0.3746	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3748

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Fugitive Dust					6.2000e- 003	0.0000	6.2000e- 003	3.1600e- 003	0.0000	3.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005		3.5000e- 003	3.5000e- 003		3.2200e- 003	3.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650
Total	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 003	3.5000e- 003	9.7000e- 003	3.1600e- 003	3.2200e- 003	6.3800e- 003	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		

Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3000e-	1.0100e-	1.7300e-	0.0000	6.0000e-	1.0000e-	7.0000e-	2.0000e-	1.0000e-	3.0000e-	0.0000	0.2116	0.2116	0.0000	0.0000	0.2116
	004	003	003		005	005	005	005	005	005						
Worker	7.0000e-	1.1000e-	1.0600e-	0.0000	1.7000e-	0.0000	1.7000e-	4.0000e-	0.0000	5.0000e-	0.0000	0.1630	0.1630	1.0000e-	0.0000	0.1632
	005	004	003		004		004	005		005				005		
Total	2.0000e-	1.1200e-	2.7900e-	0.0000	2.3000e-	1.0000e-	2.4000e-	6.0000e-	1.0000e-	8.0000e-	0.0000	0.3746	0.3746	1.0000e-	0.0000	0.3748
	004	003	003		004	005	004	005	005	005				005		

3.4 Grading - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0737	0.0000	0.0737	0.0379	0.0000	0.0379	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004		0.0171	0.0171		0.0157	0.0157	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322
Total	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004	0.0737	0.0171	0.0908	0.0379	0.0157	0.0536	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	Г/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	7.8000e- 004	6.0800e- 003	0.0104	1.0000e- 005	3.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	4.7000e- 004	1.1000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	1.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.2693	1.2693	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2695
Worker	5.4000e- 004	8.3000e- 004	7.9400e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2226	1.2226	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2240
Total	1.3200e- 003	6.9100e- 003	0.0184	3.0000e- 005	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 004	1.8400e- 003	4.7000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	2.4919	2.4919	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.4935

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							ΜT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0315	0.0000	0.0315	0.0162	0.0000	0.0162	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004		0.0171	0.0171		0.0157	0.0157	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322
Total	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004	0.0315	0.0171	0.0486	0.0162	0.0157	0.0319	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	7.8000e- 004	6.0800e- 003	0.0104	1.0000e- 005	3.6000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	4.5000e- 004	1.0000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	1.2693	1.2693	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2695
Worker	5.4000e- 004	8.3000e- 004	7.9400e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.2500e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.2226	1.2226	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2240
Total	1.3200e- 003	6.9100e- 003	0.0184	3.0000e- 005	1.6100e- 003	1.0000e- 004	1.7100e- 003	4.3000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	2.4919	2.4919	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.4935

3.5 Grading Haul - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					5.8000e- 004	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e			
Category		tons/yr										MT/yr							
Hauling	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0108	2.3800e- 003	0.0131	2.9500e- 003	2.1900e- 003	5.1400e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355			
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Total	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0108	2.3800e- 003	0.0131	2.9500e- 003	2.1900e- 003	5.1400e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355			

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category					ton	s/yr					MT/yr							
Fugitive Dust					2.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		

Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e		
Category	tons/yr										MT/yr							
Hauling	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0100	2.3800e- 003	0.0124	2.7700e- 003	2.1900e- 003	4.9600e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355		
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Total	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0100	2.3800e- 003	0.0124	2.7700e- 003	2.1900e- 003	4.9600e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355		

3.6 Building Construction - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2599
Total	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2599

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.1100e- 003	0.0241	0.0412	6.0000e- 005	1.5200e- 003	3.4000e- 004	1.8600e- 003	4.3000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	0.0000	5.0242	5.0242	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0251
Worker	2.1500e- 003	3.3400e- 003	0.0318	6.0000e- 005	5.4200e- 003	4.0000e- 005	5.4700e- 003	1.4400e- 003	4.0000e- 005	1.4800e- 003	0.0000	4.8905	4.8905	2.7000e- 004	0.0000	4.8962
Total	5.2600e- 003	0.0274	0.0730	1.2000e- 004	6.9400e- 003	3.8000e- 004	7.3300e- 003	1.8700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	0.0000	9.9148	9.9148	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	9.9212

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Off-Road	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2598
Total	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2598

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		

Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.1100e- 003	0.0241	0.0412	6.0000e- 005	1.4200e- 003	3.4000e- 004	1.7600e- 003	4.1000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	7.3000e- 004	0.0000	5.0242	5.0242	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0251
Worker	2.1500e- 003	3.3400e- 003	0.0318	6.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 003	4.0000e- 005	5.0400e- 003	1.3400e- 003	4.0000e- 005	1.3800e- 003	0.0000	4.8905	4.8905	2.7000e- 004	0.0000	4.8962
Total	5.2600e- 003	0.0274	0.0730	1.2000e- 004	6.4200e- 003	3.8000e- 004	6.8000e- 003	1.7500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	9.9148	9.9148	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	9.9212

3.6 Building Construction - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7621	204.7621	0.0400	0.0000	205.6024
Total	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7621	204.7621	0.0400	0.0000	205.6024

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.7000e- 004	0.0155	3.0400e- 003	0.0185	4.4400e- 003	2.7900e- 003	7.2300e- 003	0.0000	50.4633	50.4633	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	50.4714
Worker	0.0194	0.0306	0.2890	6.5000e- 004	0.0553	4.2000e- 004	0.0557	0.0147	3.9000e- 004	0.0151	0.0000	48.0351	48.0351	2.5000e- 003	0.0000	48.0876
Total	0.0491	0.2513	0.6902	1.2200e- 003	0.0708	3.4600e- 003	0.0742	0.0192	3.1800e- 003	0.0223	0.0000	98.4984	98.4984	2.8900e- 003	0.0000	98.5590

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7619	204.7619	0.0400	0.0000	205.6022
Total	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7619	204.7619	0.0400	0.0000	205.6022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.7000e- 004	0.0145	3.0400e- 003	0.0175	4.1900e- 003	2.7900e- 003	6.9800e- 003	0.0000	50.4633	50.4633	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	50.4714
Worker	0.0194	0.0306	0.2890	6.5000e- 004	0.0510	4.2000e- 004	0.0514	0.0137	3.9000e- 004	0.0141	0.0000	48.0351	48.0351	2.5000e- 003	0.0000	48.0876
Total	0.0491	0.2513	0.6902	1.2200e- 003	0.0655	3.4600e- 003	0.0689	0.0179	3.1800e- 003	0.0210	0.0000	98.4984	98.4984	2.8900e- 003	0.0000	98.5590

3.7 Paving - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	Г/yr		
Off-Road	0.0178	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004		0.0110	0.0110		0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544
Paving	9.0000e- 005					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0179	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004		0.0110	0.0110		0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	2.0300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.0500e- 003	5.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679
Total	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	2.0300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.0500e- 003	5.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr				M	Г/yr					
Off-Road	0.0178	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004		0.0110	0.0110		0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544

Paving	9.0000e- 005				0.0000	0.0000	 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0179	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004	0.0110	0.0110	0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	1.8800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8900e- 003	5.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679
Total	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	1.8800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8900e- 003	5.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679

3.8 Architectural Coating - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Archit. Coating	0.0514					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.9800e- 003	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384
Total	0.0564	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786
Total	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	0.0514					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.9800e- 003	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384
Total	0.0564	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		

Total	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.2500e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786
Worker	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.2500e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							M	Г/yr		
Mitigated	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339
Unmitigated	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip R	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Government (Civic Center)	347.05	0.00	0.00	473,875	473,875
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	347.05	0.00	0.00	473,875	473,875

4.3 Trip Type Information

	Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by

Government (Civic Center)	9.50	7.30	7.30	75.00	20.00	5.00	50	34	16
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.579131	0.062714	0.176356	0.114004	0.029626	0.004163	0.015785	0.004086	0.002626	0.003692	0.006605	0.000229	0.000983

5.0 Energy Detail

4.4 Fleet Mix

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	50.9383	50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	50.9383	50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344
NaturalGas Mitigated	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351
NaturalGas Unmitigated	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

Land Use	kBTU/yr					tons/yr				MT/yr					
Government (Civic Center)	252103	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					tor	ıs/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Government (Civic Center)	252103	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Unmitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	ī/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	172459	50.1703	2.2700e- 003	4.7000e- 004	50.3634
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Parking Lot	0.7680		1.0000e- 005	0.7710
Total	50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344

Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	ſ/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	172459	50.1703	2.2700e- 003	4.7000e- 004	50.3634
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	2640	0.7680	3.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.7710
Total		50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr							MT	⁻/yr		
Mitigated	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Unmitigated	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

6.2 Area by SubCategory

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0158					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.1297					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Total	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							ΜT	/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0158					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.1297					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Total	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		MT	/yr	
Mitigated	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Unmitigated	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111

7.2 Water by Land Use

Unmitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		M	ſ/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	2.46934 / 1.51347	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		Π	ī/yr	

Government (Civic Center)	2.46934 / 1.51347	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e					
	MT/yr								
Mitigated	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308					
Unmitigated	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308					

8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		Μ٦	⁻/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	70.85	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	-	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Parking Lot	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	ī/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	70.85	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

10.0 Vegetation

El Granada Fire Station 41 Mitigated Construction San Mateo County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
Government (Civic Center)	12.43	1000sqft	0.72	12,425.00	0
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	17.79	1000sqft	0.41	17,789.00	0
Parking Lot	17.00	Space	0.07	3,000.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.2	Precipitation Freq (Days)	70
Climate Zone	5			Operational Year	2017
Utility Company	Pacific Gas & Electric Co	ompany			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	641.35	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Se CalEEMod Assumptions

Construction Phase - Grading must accommodate Haul

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Provided in Construction Request

Off-road Equipment - No Demo

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment - Haul Phase

Off-road Equipment -

Off-road Equipment -

Trips and VMT - See CalEEMod Assumptions File

Grading -

Architectural Coating - CalEEMod

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - BAAQMD BMPs

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblArchitecturalCoating	ConstArea_Nonresidential_Exterior	15,152.00	4,218.00
tblArchitecturalCoating	ConstArea_Nonresidential_Interior	45,456.00	15,842.00
tblConstDustMitigation	CleanPavedRoadPercentReduction	0	9
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	DPF	No Change	Level 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	1.00

tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	2.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	4.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	NumberOfEquipmentMitigated	0.00	3.00
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstEquipMitigation	Tier	No Change	Tier 3
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	10.00	30.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	200.00	280.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	1.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	4.00	30.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	4.00	20.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	10.00	30.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	2.00	5.00
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	2/2/2018	12/23/2017
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	12/22/2017	12/23/2017
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	11/25/2016	11/27/2016
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	12/23/2016	11/27/2016
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	2/2/2018	12/23/2017
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	10/17/2016	10/15/2016
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	12/24/2017	11/13/2017

tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	11/28/2016	10/30/2016
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	12/24/2017	11/13/2017
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	10/11/2016	10/9/2016
tblGrading	MaterialExported	0.00	10,150.00
tblGrading	MaterialImported	0.00	160.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	12,430.00	12,425.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	17,790.00	17,789.00
tblLandUse	LandUseSquareFeet	6,800.00	3,000.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.29	0.72
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.15	0.07
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	0.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	UsageHours	6.00	0.00
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2017
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	4.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	4.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	5.00	19.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	8.00	10.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	13.00	48.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	13.00	15.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	3.00	10.00

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	is/yr							M	/yr		
2016	0.0943	0.7977	0.7398	1.1200e- 003	0.1085	0.0374	0.1459	0.0507	0.0352	0.0859	0.0000	99.6276	99.6276	0.0121	0.0000	99.8821
2017	0.4455	2.2703	2.5701	3.9700e- 003	0.0742	0.1442	0.2183	0.0201	0.1398	0.1599	0.0000	328.3723	328.3723	0.0490	0.0000	329.4008
Total	0.5397	3.0680	3.3098	5.0900e- 003	0.1826	0.1816	0.3642	0.0708	0.1750	0.2458	0.0000	427.9999	427.9999	0.0611	0.0000	429.2828

Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					tor	ıs/yr							М	T/yr		
2016	0.0366	0.4896	0.6428	1.1200e- 003	0.0562	5.1300e- 003	0.0614	0.0244	4.8900e- 003	0.0293	0.0000	99.6276	99.6276	0.0121	0.0000	99.8820
2017	0.1814	1.7720	2.4690	3.9700e- 003	0.0686	0.0185	0.0871	0.0187	0.0182	0.0369	0.0000	328.3720	328.3720	0.0490	0.0000	329.4005
Total	0.2179	2.2615	3.1119	5.0900e- 003	0.1248	0.0236	0.1484	0.0431	0.0231	0.0662	0.0000	427.9996	427.9996	0.0611	0.0000	429.2825
	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	59.62	26.29	5.98	0.00	31.65	87.00	59.24	39.10	86.81	73.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Area	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Energy	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	64.3915	64.3915	2.5600e- 003	7.2000e- 004	64.6695
Mobile	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339
Waste			0			0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	14.3819	0.0000	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.7834	5.4280	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111
Total	0.2725	0.2304	1.1879	2.4100e- 003	0.1751	3.8400e- 003	0.1789	0.0470	3.6100e- 003	0.0506	15.1653	248.5862	263.7516	0.9412	2.6700e- 003	284.3461

Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Area	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Energy	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	64.3915	64.3915	2.5600e- 003	7.2000e- 004	64.6695
Mobile	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	14.3819	0.0000	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Water))************************************) 		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.7834	5.4280	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Total	0.2725	0.2304	1.1879	2.4100e- 003	0.1751	3.8400e- 003	0.1789	0.0470	3.6100e- 003	0.0506	15.1653	248.5862	263.7516	0.9412	2.6700e- 003	284.3448

Γ	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e

Percent	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Reduction																

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Demolition	Demolition	10/9/2016	10/10/2016	5	1	
2	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	10/9/2016	10/15/2016	5	5	
3	Grading	Grading	10/16/2016	11/27/2016	5	30	
4	Grading Haul	Grading	10/30/2016	11/27/2016	5	20	
5	Building Construction	Building Construction	11/28/2016	12/23/2017	5	280	
6	Paving	Paving	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	5	30	
7	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	11/13/2017	12/23/2017	5	30	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 2.5

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 11.25

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 15,842; Non-Residential Outdoor: 4,218 (Architectural Coating -

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	0	8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	8.00	255	0.40
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	8.00	97	0.37
Site Preparation	Graders	1	8.00	174	0.41
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	7.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Graders	1	6.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	6.00	255	0.40

Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	7.00	97	0.37
Grading Haul	Graders	0	6.00	174	0.41
Grading Haul	Rubber Tired Dozers	0	6.00	255	0.40
Grading Haul	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0	7.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Aerial Lifts	1	8.00	62	0.31
Building Construction	Cranes	0	0.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	1	6.00	89	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6.00	97	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	3	8.00	46	0.45
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	6.00	9	0.56
Paving	Pavers	1	6.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	1	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers	1	7.00	80	0.38
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8.00	97	0.37
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	1	6.00	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length		Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	3	8.00	4.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	3	10.00	4.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading Haul	0	0.00	0.00	1,289.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	7	48.00	19.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	5	15.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Architectural Coating	1	10.00	0.00	0.00	12.40	7.30	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

Use Cleaner Engines for Construction Equipment

Use DPF for Construction Equipment Replace Ground Cover Water Exposed Area Reduce Vehicle Speed on Unpaved Roads Clean Paved Roads

3.2 Demolition - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

3.3 Site Preparation - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton				MT	⊺/yr						
Fugitive Dust					0.0145	0.0000	0.0145	7.3800e- 003	0.0000	7.3800e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005		3.5000e- 003	3.5000e- 003		3.2200e- 003	3.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650
Total	6.1100e- 003	0.0644	0.0413	4.0000e- 005	0.0145	3.5000e- 003	0.0180	7.3800e- 003	3.2200e- 003	0.0106	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e- 003	0.0000	4.0650

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3000e- 004	1.0100e- 003	1.7300e- 003	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.2116	0.2116	0.0000	0.0000	0.2116
Worker	7.0000e- 005	1.1000e- 004	1.0600e- 003	0.0000	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	1.8000e- 004	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1630	0.1630	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1632
Total	2.0000e- 004	1.1200e- 003	2.7900e- 003	0.0000	2.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3746	0.3746	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3748

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					6.2000e- 003	0.0000	6.2000e- 003	3.1600e- 003	0.0000	3.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Off-Road	1.0400e-	0.0208	0.0277	4.0000e-		1.5000e-	1.5000e-		1.5000e-	1.5000e-	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e-	0.0000	4.0650
	003			005		004	004		004	004				003		
Total	1.0400e-	0.0208	0.0277	4.0000e-	6.2000e-	1.5000e-	6.3500e-	3.1600e-	1.5000e-	3.3100e-	0.0000	4.0394	4.0394	1.2200e-	0.0000	4.0650
		0.0200	0.02.	1.00000	0.20000	1.00000	0.00000	3.10006-	1.50000-	3.31000-	0.0000	4.0334	4.0334	1.22006-	0.0000	4.0050
	003	0.0200	0.02.1	005	003	004	003	003	004	003	0.0000	4.0334	4.0334	003	0.0000	4.0050

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr		-					MT	ī/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	1.3000e- 004	1.0100e- 003	1.7300e- 003	0.0000	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	7.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.2116	0.2116	0.0000	0.0000	0.2116
Worker	7.0000e- 005	1.1000e- 004	1.0600e- 003	0.0000	1.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.7000e- 004	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1630	0.1630	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.1632
Total	2.0000e- 004	1.1200e- 003	2.7900e- 003	0.0000	2.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	2.4000e- 004	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3746	0.3746	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.3748

3.4 Grading - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr				M	⊺/yr					
Fugitive Dust					0.0737	0.0000	0.0737	0.0379	0.0000	0.0379	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004		0.0171	0.0171		0.0157	0.0157	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322
Total	0.0299	0.3155	0.2051	2.1000e- 004	0.0737	0.0171	0.0908	0.0379	0.0157	0.0536	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	7.8000e- 004	6.0800e- 003	0.0104	1.0000e- 005	3.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	4.7000e- 004	1.1000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	1.9000e- 004	0.0000	1.2693	1.2693	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2695
Worker	5.4000e- 004	8.3000e- 004	7.9400e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.2226	1.2226	7.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2240
Total	1.3200e- 003	6.9100e- 003	0.0184	3.0000e- 005	1.7400e- 003	1.0000e- 004	1.8400e- 003	4.7000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	2.4919	2.4919	8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.4935

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.0315	0.0000	0.0315	0.0162	0.0000	0.0162	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	5.1200e- 003	0.1026	0.1357	2.1000e- 004		7.4000e- 004	7.4000e- 004		7.4000e- 004	7.4000e- 004	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322
Total	5.1200e- 003	0.1026	0.1357	2.1000e- 004	0.0315	7.4000e- 004	0.0323	0.0162	7.4000e- 004	0.0169	0.0000	19.9061	19.9061	6.0000e- 003	0.0000	20.0322

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		

Total	004 1.3200e- 003	004 6.9100e- 003	003 0.0184	005 3.0000e- 005	003 1.6100e- 003	005 1.0000e- 004	003 1.7100e- 003	004 4.3000e- 004	005 9.0000e- 005	004 5.2000e- 004	0.0000	2.4919	2.4919	005 8.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.4935
Worker	5.4000e-	8.3000e-	7.9400e-	2.0000e-	1.2500e-	1.0000e-	1.2600e-	3.3000e-	1.0000e-	3.4000e-	0.0000	1.2226	1.2226	7.0000e-	0.0000	1.2240
Vendor	7.8000e- 004	6.0800e- 003	0.0104	1.0000e- 005	3.6000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	4.5000e- 004	1.0000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	1.8000e- 004	0.0000	1.2693	1.2693	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.2695
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

3.5 Grading Haul - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⁻/yr		
Fugitive Dust					5.8000e- 004	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	0.0000	5.8000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0108	2.3800e- 003	0.0131	2.9500e- 003	2.1900e- 003	5.1400e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0108	2.3800e- 003	0.0131	2.9500e- 003	2.1900e- 003	5.1400e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					2.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	0.0000	2.5000e- 004	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0100	2.3800e- 003	0.0124	2.7700e- 003	2.1900e- 003	4.9600e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0164	0.1939	0.2299	4.7000e- 004	0.0100	2.3800e- 003	0.0124	2.7700e- 003	2.1900e- 003	4.9600e- 003	0.0000	42.7289	42.7289	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	42.7355

3.6 Building Construction - 2016

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ī/yr		
Off-Road	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2599
Total	0.0351	0.1884	0.1694	2.4000e- 004		0.0139	0.0139		0.0136	0.0136	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2599

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.1100e- 003	0.0241	0.0412	6.0000e- 005	1.5200e- 003	3.4000e- 004	1.8600e- 003	4.3000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	0.0000	5.0242	5.0242	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0251
Worker	2.1500e- 003	3.3400e- 003	0.0318	6.0000e- 005	5.4200e- 003	4.0000e- 005	5.4700e- 003	1.4400e- 003	4.0000e- 005	1.4800e- 003	0.0000	4.8905	4.8905	2.7000e- 004	0.0000	4.8962
Total	5.2600e- 003	0.0274	0.0730	1.2000e- 004	6.9400e- 003	3.8000e- 004	7.3300e- 003	1.8700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	2.2300e- 003	0.0000	9.9148	9.9148	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	9.9212

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr				MT	⊺/yr					
Off-Road	7.1900e- 003	0.1369	0.1554	2.4000e- 004		1.3500e- 003	1.3500e- 003		1.3500e- 003	1.3500e- 003	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e- 003	0.0000	20.2598

I	Total	7.1900e-	0.1369	0.1554	2.4000e-	1.3500e-	1.3500e-	1.3500e-	1.3500e-	0.0000	20.1720	20.1720	4.1800e-	0.0000	20.2598
		003			004	003	003	003	003				003		
															1

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	3.1100e- 003	0.0241	0.0412	6.0000e- 005	1.4200e- 003	3.4000e- 004	1.7600e- 003	4.1000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	7.3000e- 004	0.0000	5.0242	5.0242	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	5.0251
Worker	2.1500e- 003	3.3400e- 003	0.0318	6.0000e- 005	5.0000e- 003	4.0000e- 005	5.0400e- 003	1.3400e- 003	4.0000e- 005	1.3800e- 003	0.0000	4.8905	4.8905	2.7000e- 004	0.0000	4.8962
Total	5.2600e- 003	0.0274	0.0730	1.2000e- 004	6.4200e- 003	3.8000e- 004	6.8000e- 003	1.7500e- 003	3.6000e- 004	2.1100e- 003	0.0000	9.9148	9.9148	3.1000e- 004	0.0000	9.9212

3.6 Building Construction - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7621	204.7621	0.0400	0.0000	205.6024
Total	0.3209	1.8029	1.6987	2.4700e- 003		0.1271	0.1271		0.1239	0.1239	0.0000	204.7621	204.7621	0.0400	0.0000	205.6024

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							Π	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.7000e- 004	0.0155	3.0400e- 003	0.0185	4.4400e- 003	2.7900e- 003	7.2300e- 003	0.0000	50.4633	50.4633	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	50.4714
Worker	0.0194	0.0306	0.2890	6.5000e- 004	0.0553	4.2000e- 004	0.0557	0.0147	3.9000e- 004	0.0151	0.0000	48.0351	48.0351	2.5000e- 003	0.0000	48.0876
Total	0.0491	0.2513	0.6902	1.2200e- 003	0.0708	3.4600e- 003	0.0742	0.0192	3.1800e- 003	0.0223	0.0000	98.4984	98.4984	2.8900e- 003	0.0000	98.5590

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					tons	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Off-Road	0.0733	1.3961	1.5847	2.4700e- 003		0.0137	0.0137		0.0137	0.0137	0.0000	204.7619	204.7619	0.0400	0.0000	205.6022
Total	0.0733	1.3961	1.5847	2.4700e- 003		0.0137	0.0137		0.0137	0.0137	0.0000	204.7619	204.7619	0.0400	0.0000	205.6022

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		

Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0297	0.2207	0.4012	5.7000e- 004	0.0145	3.0400e- 003	0.0175	4.1900e- 003	2.7900e- 003	6.9800e- 003	0.0000	50.4633	50.4633	3.9000e- 004	0.0000	50.4714
Worker	0.0194	0.0306	0.2890	6.5000e- 004	0.0510	4.2000e- 004	0.0514	0.0137	3.9000e- 004	0.0141	0.0000	48.0351	48.0351	2.5000e- 003	0.0000	48.0876
Total	0.0491	0.2513	0.6902	1.2200e- 003	0.0655	3.4600e- 003	0.0689	0.0179	3.1800e- 003	0.0210	0.0000	98.4984	98.4984	2.8900e- 003	0.0000	98.5590

3.7 Paving - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							ΜT	/yr		
Off-Road	0.0178	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004		0.0110	0.0110		0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544
Paving	9.0000e- 005					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	0.0179	0.1815	0.1355	2.0000e- 004		0.0110	0.0110		0.0101	0.0101	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		-		-	ton	s/yr	-						MT	Г/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	2.0300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.0500e- 003	5.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679
Total	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	2.0300e- 003	2.0000e- 005	2.0500e- 003	5.4000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.6000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Off-Road	5.3800e- 003	0.1023	0.1490	2.0000e- 004		1.0200e- 003	1.0200e- 003		1.0200e- 003	1.0200e- 003	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544
Paving	9.0000e- 005					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	5.4700e- 003	0.1023	0.1490	2.0000e- 004		1.0200e- 003	1.0200e- 003		1.0200e- 003	1.0200e- 003	0.0000	18.3386	18.3386	5.5100e- 003	0.0000	18.4544

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	1.8800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8900e- 003	5.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679
Total	7.1000e- 004	1.1300e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	1.8800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.8900e- 003	5.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.7660	1.7660	9.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.7679

3.8 Architectural Coating - 2017

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Archit. Coating	0.0514					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.9800e- 003	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384
Total	0.0564	0.0328	0.0280	4.0000e- 005		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003		2.6000e- 003	2.6000e- 003	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e- 004	0.0000	3.8384

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786
Total	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.3600e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.3700e- 003	3.6000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.7000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Archit. Coating	0.0514					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Off-Road	8.9000e-	0.0204	0.0275	4.0000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e-	0.0000	3.8384
	004			005	004	004	004	004				004		
Total	0.0523	0.0204	0.0275	4.0000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	2.1000e-	0.0000	3.8299	3.8299	4.0000e-	0.0000	3.8384
				005	004	004	004	004				004		

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.2500e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786
Total	4.8000e- 004	7.5000e- 004	7.0800e- 003	2.0000e- 005	1.2500e- 003	1.0000e- 005	1.2600e- 003	3.3000e- 004	1.0000e- 005	3.4000e- 004	0.0000	1.1773	1.1773	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.1786

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Mitigated	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339
Unmitigated	0.1256	0.2180	1.1770	2.3400e- 003	0.1751	2.9000e- 003	0.1780	0.0470	2.6700e- 003	0.0496	0.0000	178.7659	178.7659	8.0000e- 003	0.0000	178.9339

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Aver	age Daily Trip R	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
Government (Civic Center)	347.05	0.00	0.00	473,875	473,875
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	347.05	0.00	0.00	473,875	473,875

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
Government (Civic Center)	9.50	7.30	7.30	75.00	20.00	5.00	50	34	16
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Parking Lot	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.579131	0.062714	0.176356	0.114004	0.029626	0.004163	0.015785	0.004086	0.002626	0.003692	0.006605	0.000229	0.000983

5.0 Energy Detail

4.4 Fleet Mix

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	⊺/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	50.9383	50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	50.9383	50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344

NaturalGa	as 1	1.3600e-	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e-	2.5000e-	13.5351
Mitigated	ł	003			005	004	004	004	004				004	004	
NaturalGa	as 1	1.3600e-	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	9.4000e-	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e-	2.5000e-	13.5351
Unmitigate	ed	003			005	004	004	004	004				004	004	

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					tor	ns/yr							MT	/yr		
Government (Civic Center)	252103	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351

Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					tor	ıs/yr							MT	⁻/yr		
Government (Civic Center)	252103	1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		1.3600e- 003	0.0124	0.0104	7.0000e- 005		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004		9.4000e- 004	9.4000e- 004	0.0000	13.4532	13.4532	2.6000e- 004	2.5000e- 004	13.5351

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	ſ/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	172459	50.1703	2.2700e- 003	4.7000e- 004	50.3634
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	2640	0.7680	3.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.7710
Total		50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344

Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		MT	ſ/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	172459	50.1703	2.2700e- 003	4.7000e- 004	50.3634
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	2640	0.7680	3.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	0.7710
Total		50.9383	2.3000e- 003	4.8000e- 004	51.1344

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Unmitigated	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	ſ/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0158					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.1297					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Total	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		

Architectural Coating	0.0158				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.1297				0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000	 0.0000	0.0000	 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004
Total	0.1456	0.0000	4.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	8.4000e- 004	8.4000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	8.9000e- 004

7.0 Water Detail

7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category		MT	/yr	
Mitigated	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Unmitigated	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111

7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		Μ٦	⁻/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	2.46934 / 1.51347	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Total	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5111

Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		Μ٦	ī/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	2.46934 / 1.51347	6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		6.2115	0.0807	1.9500e- 003	8.5098

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
		MT,	/yr	
	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Unmitigated	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308

Unmitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	ī/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	70.85	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308

Mitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		MT	ſ/yr	
Government (Civic Center)	70.85	14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308
Other Non-Asphalt Surfaces	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Parking Lot	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		14.3819	0.8500	0.0000	32.2308

9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type
-----------------------	-----------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

10.0 Vegetation